arXiv:math/0603158v2 [math.GT] 14 Feb 2008

HARMONIC MAGNUS EXPANSION ON THE UNIVERSAL FAMILY OF RIEMANN SURFACES

NARIYA KAWAZUMI

Dedicated to Professor Yukio Matsumoto on his sixtieth birthday

Abstract. Let $\mathbb{M}_{g,1}$, $g \geq 1$, be the moduli space of triples (C, P_0, v) of genus g, where C is a compact Riemann surface of genus g, $P_0 \in C$, and $v \in T_{P_0}C\backslash\{0\}$. Using Chen's iterated integrals we introduce a higher analogue of the period matrix for a triple (C, P_0, v), *the harmonic Magnus expansion*. It induces a flat connection on a vector bundle over the space $\mathbb{M}_{g,1}$, whose holonomy gives all the higher Johnson homomorphisms of the mapping class group. The connection form, which is computed as an explicit quadratic differential, induces "canonical" differential forms representing (twisted) Morita-Mumford classes and their higher relators on $\mathbb{M}_{g,1}$. In particular, we construct a family of twisted differential forms on $\mathbb{M}_{g,1}$ representing the $(0, p+2)$-twisted Morita-Mumford class $m_{0,p+2}$ combinatorially parametrized by the Stasheff associahedron K_{p+1}.

Introduction

Let $\mathbb{M}_g$ be the moduli space of compact Riemann surfaces of genus $g \geq 2$. The purpose of this and succeeding papers is to construct and study "canonical" differential forms representing the Morita-Mumford classes (or the tautological classes) $e_i = (-1)^{i+1}\kappa_i$, $i \geq 1$, [23] [19] on the moduli space $\mathbb{M}_g$. For this purpose, in the present paper, we introduce a higher analogue of the period matrices of compact Riemann surfaces, the harmonic Magnus expansion, using Chen's iterated integrals [3].

It is well known the cohomology algebra $H^*(\mathbb{M}_g; \mathbb{R})$ is naturally isomorphic to the algebra of all the real characteristic classes for oriented fiber bundles with fiber Σ_g, a 2-dimensional oriented connected closed C^∞ manifold of genus g. This is the reason why the moduli space $\mathbb{M}_g$ plays an important role also in differential topology. As was shown by Madsen and Weiss [18], the stable part $* < g/3$ of the algebra $H^*(\mathbb{M}_g; \mathbb{R})$ [6] is generated by the Morita-Mumford classes e_i's.

There are two classical approaches to constructing differential forms representing the classes e_i's. Uniformization Theorem tells us the relative tangent bundle $T_{\mathbb{C}_g/\mathbb{M}_g}$ of the universal family $\pi : \mathbb{C}_g \to \mathbb{M}_g$ of compact Riemann surfaces has a canonical Hermitian metric, the hyperbolic metric. A notable work of Wolpert [29] gives an explicit description of the differential forms on $\mathbb{M}_g$ representing the Morita-Mumford classes induced by the hyperbolic metric in terms of the resolvent of the hyperbolic Laplacian. On the other hand, from Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 32G15. Secondary 14H15, 20F28, 20J05, 57M20, 57R50.

Key words and phrases. Johnson homomorphism, Morita-Mumford class, Stasheff associahedron, period, harmonic volume.

Formula, the pullbacks of the Chern forms on the Siegel upper half space $\mathfrak{H}_g$ by the period matrix map represent all the **odd** Morita-Mumford classes. The first variation of the period matrices are given by Rauch's variational formula [25] in terms of explicit quadratic differentials.

In order to construct differential forms representing **all** the Morita-Mumford classes with no use of the hyperbolic metric, we introduce a higher analogue of the period matrices of compact Riemann surfaces. For simplicity, we consider the moduli space $\mathbb{M}_{g,1}$ of **triples** (C, P_0, v) of genus g instead of the space $\mathbb{M}_g$. Here C is a compact Riemann surface of genus g, $P_0 \in C$, and v a non-zero tangent vector of C at P_0. The space $\mathbb{M}_{g,1}$ is an aspherical $(3g-1)$-dimensional complex analytic manifold, and the fundamental group is equal to the mapping class group $\mathcal{M}_{g,1} := \pi_0 \operatorname{Diff}_+(\Sigma_g, p_0, v_0)$, where $p_0 \in \Sigma_g$, and $v_0 \in (T_{\mathbb{R}}\Sigma_g)_{p_0} \setminus \{0\}$. The universal covering space is just the Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}_{g,1}$ for the topological triple (Σ_g, p_0, v_0).

For any triple (C, P_0, v) one can define the fundamental group of the complement $C \setminus \{P_0\}$ with the tangential basepoint v, which we denote by $\pi_1(C, P_0, v)$. If we choose a symplectic generator of $\pi_1(C, P_0, v)$, we can identify it with a free group of rank $2g$, F_{2g}. This induces a homomorphism $\mathcal{M}_{g,1} \to \operatorname{Aut}(F_{2g})$, which is known to be an injection from a theorem of Nielsen.

Our construction is based on the following two facts: (1) We obtain the i-th Morita-Mumford class $e_i \in H^{2i}(\mathcal{M}_{g,1}; \mathbb{R})$, $i \geq 1$, by contracting the coefficients of the $(0, 2i+2)$-twisted Morita-Mumford class $m_{0,2i+2} \in H^{2i}(\mathcal{M}_{g,1}; H^{\otimes(2i+2)})$ by using the intersection product on the first homology group of the surface Σ_g, $H := H_1(\Sigma_g; \mathbb{R})$ [21] [14] [15]. (2) The $(0,p)$-twisted Morita-Mumford class $m_{0,p}$, $p \geq 3$, is an algebraic combination of the p-th power of the first Johnson map $[\tau_1^\theta]$, or equivalently, the $(0,3)$-twisted Morita-Mumford class $m_{0,3}$ [14] [15] [11]. From these facts, if one obtains a twisted differential form representing the class $m_{0,3}$, then one can construct differential forms representing all the Morita-Mumford classes. In this paper we confine ourselves to studying the **twisted** Morita-Mumford classes. Here we should remark a way to combine the classes $m_{0,3}$'s to get the class $m_{0,p}$ is **not** unique. There are many nontrivial relations among them, which come from the higher Johnson maps τ_s^θ, $s \geq 2$. In this paper we will show these relations are controlled by the Stasheff associahedron K_{p+1} [26]. For any $p \geq 1$, we construct a p-cocycle

$$\theta^* Y_p \in C^*(K_{p+1}; \Omega^*(\mathbb{M}_{g,1}; H^{\otimes(p+2)})) \tag{0.1}$$

of the cellular cochain complex of K_{p+1} with values in the twisted de Rham complex of the moduli space $\mathbb{M}_{g,1}$. Here we regard the $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}$-module $H^{\otimes(p+2)}$ as a flat vector bundle over the space $\mathbb{M}_{g,1}$ in an obvious way. The p-cocycle $\theta^* Y_p$ represents $\frac{1}{(p+2)!}(-1)^{\frac{1}{2}p(p+1)} m_{0,p+2}$ under the natural isomorphisms $H^p(C^*(K_{p+1}; \Omega^*(\mathbb{M}_{g,1}; H^{\otimes(p+2)}))) = H^p(\mathbb{M}_{g,1}; H^{\otimes(p+2)}) = H^p(\mathcal{M}_{g,1}; H^{\otimes(p+2)})$, so that it can be interpreted as a canonical combinatorial family of differential forms representing the $(0, p+2)$-twisted Morita-Mumford class $m_{0,p+2}$.

The Johnson homomorphisms τ_p, $p \geq 1$, are homomorphisms defined on a decreasing filtration $\{\mathcal{M}(p)\}_{p=0}^{\infty}$ of subgroups of the mapping class group introduced by Johnson [8]. Independently of Johnson's work [8] Harris [7] defined the harmonic volume of a compact Riemann surface by using Chen's iterated integrals of harmonic forms and their relatives. Pulte [24] proved a theorem of Torelli type for the pointed version of the harmonic volumes. Hain [7] integrated these studies with Hodge theory to give an infinitesimal presentation of the Torelli group $\mathcal{M}(1)$.

Kaenders [9] proves a theorem of Torelli type for the Hodge structures of the fundamental group of twice pointed Riemann surfaces. Our construction is more direct and elemantary than these Hodge-theoretic approaches. The Magnus expansion we will define in this paper is a higher analogue of Harris' harmonic volumes. This is the reason why we named it the **harmonic** Magnus expansion.

In (0.1) we have two ingredients in the p-cocycle θ^*Y_p, a cocycle Y_p and a map θ. Part I deals with the cocycle Y_p, which comes from some geometry about the automorphism group of a free group. Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer, and F_n a free group of rank n. We denote by $H := H_1(F_n;\mathbb{R})$ the first real homology group of the group F_n, and by $H^* := \mathrm{Hom}(H,\mathbb{R})$ its dual. The p-cocycle Y_p inhabits the set Θ_n of all the real-valued Magnus expansions of the free group F_n in a generalized sense [11]. A certain Lie group $\mathrm{IA}(\widehat{T})$ acts on the set Θ_n in a free and transitive way. This makes the set Θ_n a real analytic manifold, and induces the Maurer-Cartan form, which is a series of 1-forms $\eta_p \in \left(\Omega^1(\Theta_n)\otimes H^*\otimes H^{\otimes(p+1)}\right)^{\mathrm{Aut}(F_n)}$, $p \geq 1$. The Johnson maps $\tau_p^\theta : \mathrm{Aut}(F_n) \to H^*\otimes H^{\otimes(p+1)}$, $p \geq 1$, $\theta \in \Theta_n$, are given by Chen's iterated integrals of the forms η_s's, $1 \leq s \leq p$. In other words, the Maurer-Cartan forms η_s's define a flat connection on $\prod H^*\otimes H^{\otimes(p+1)}$ whose holonomy gives all the higher Johnson homomorphisms. Assembling the forms η_s's we obtain the desired p-cocycle $Y_p \in C^*(K_{p+1};\left(\Omega^*(\Theta_n)\otimes H^*\otimes H^{\otimes(p+1)}\right)^{\mathrm{Aut}(F_n)})$.

In Part II we construct an $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}$-equivariant map $\theta : \mathcal{T}_{g,1} \to \Theta_{2g}$, which we call **the harmonic Magnus expansion**. For any triple (C,P_0,v) of genus g, we obtain a canonical Magnus expansion $\theta^{(C,P_0,v)}$ of the free group $\pi_1(C,P_0,v)$. Through the Poincaré duality we identify $H^* = H^1(C;\mathbb{R}) = H^1(\pi_1(C,P_0,v);\mathbb{R})$ with $H = H_1(C;\mathbb{R}) = H_1(\pi_1(C,P_0,v);\mathbb{R})$. In §5, we construct a series of 1-currents $\omega_{(p)}$ with coefficients in $H^{\otimes p}$, $p \geq 1$, such that $\omega_{(1)}$ comes from the harmonic forms on C and $\omega = \sum \omega_{(p)}$ satisfies the integrability condition

$$d\omega = \omega\wedge\omega - I\delta_0.$$

Here δ_0 is the delta current at P_0 and $I \in H^{\otimes 2}$ the intersection form of the surface C. Moreover we choose the current $\omega_{(p)}$ orthogonal to all the d-closed 1-forms for any $p \geq 2$. The Magnus expansion $\theta^{(C,P_0,v)}$ is defined to be Chen's iterated integral of the connection form ω in §6, and gives the harmonic Magnus expansion $\theta : \mathcal{T}_{g,1} \to \Theta_{2g}$. In §§7 and 8 we compute the pullback $\theta^*\eta_p$, $p \geq 1$, of the Maurer-Cartan forms in terms of explicit quadratic differentials. Let $N : H^{\otimes m} \to H^{\otimes m}$ be a linear map defined by $N|_{H^{\otimes m}} := \sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & m-1 & m \\ 2 & 3 & \cdots & m & 1\end{pmatrix}^k$, and ω' the $(1,0)$-part of the connection form ω. Then we prove in Lemma 7.1 $N(\omega'\omega')$ is a meromorphic quadratic differential with coefficients in $\prod_{m\geq 2} H^{\otimes(m+2)}$ defined on C with a pole of order ≤ 2 at P_0. We denote by $N(\omega'\omega')_{(m)}$ the component of $N(\omega'\omega')$ with coefficients in $H^{\otimes m}$. Then we have

Theorem 8.1.

$$(\theta^*\eta_p)_{[C,P_0,v]} = N(\omega'\omega')_{(p+2)} + \overline{N(\omega'\omega')_{(p+2)}} \in (T^*_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{M}_{g,1})_{[C,P_0,v]}\otimes H^{\otimes(p+2)}$$

for any $[C,P_0,v] \in \mathbb{M}_{g,1}$ *and* $p \geq 1$ *. Here we regard the quadratic differential* $N(\omega'\omega')_{(p+2)}$ *as a* $(1,0)$*-cotangent vector at* $[C,P_0,v] \in \mathbb{M}_{g,1}$ *in a natural way.*

The second term of $N(\omega'\omega')_{(2)} = 2{\omega_{(1)}}'{\omega_{(1)}}'$ is just the first variation of the period matrices given by Rauch's variational formula [25].

In §8 we show the 1-form $\theta^*\eta_1$ has coefficients in $\Lambda^3 H \subset H^{\otimes 3}$. Let $U := \Lambda^3 H/H$ be the cokernel of the injection $\mathfrak{q}^H : H \to \Lambda^3 H$, $Z \mapsto Z \wedge I$, and $\mathfrak{p}^U : \Lambda^3 H \to U$ the natural projection. In Theorem 8.3 we prove $\eta_1^U := \mathfrak{p}^U \theta^*\eta_1$ can be regarded as a 1-form on $\mathbb{M}_g$ representing the extended Johnson homomorphism $\tilde{k} \in H^1(\mathbb{M}_g; U)$ introduced by Morita [20]. The 1-form η_1^U is exactly the first variation of the harmonic volumes given by Harris [7]. Hain and Reed [5] introduced the 1-form η_1^U in the context of Hodge theory, and studied a 2-form representing the first Morita-Mumford class e_1 obtained from η_1^U. As was pointed out by Harris, the form η_1^U vanishes along the hypereliptic locus $\mathcal{H}_g \subset \mathbb{M}_g$. This implies all the differential forms representing the Morita-Mumford classes derived from η_1^U vanish along the locus $\mathcal{H}_g$ in contrast to the differential forms coming from the hyperbolic metric. The results in §§4-7 were announced in [12].

Acknowledgements: The author would like to thank Shigeyuki Morita, Kiyoshi Igusa, Richard Hain, Kiyoshi Ohba, Hiroshi Ohta, Ryushi Goto, Masahiko Yoshinaga, Yuuki Tadokoro, Takao Satoh, Yusuke Kuno and Masatoshi Sato for imspiring discussions. In particular, the author would like to express his sincere gratitude to Yukio Matsumoto, the author's former supervisor.

CONTENTS

Part 1. Geometry of Magnus Expansions 4
1. Iterated Integrals and Maurer-Cartan Forms 4
2. Magnus Expansions and Johnson Maps 7
3. Stasheff Associahedrons and Twisted Morita-Mumford Classes 9

Part 2. Harmonic Magnus Expansions 13
4. Fundamental Group with a Tangential Basepoint 13
5. The Connection 1-form ω 15
6. The Harmonic Magnus Expansion 21
7. Quasiconformal Variation of Harmonic Magnus Expansions 24
8. The Maurer-Cartan Form on the Teichmüller Space 32
References 37

Part 1. Geometry of Magnus Expansions

1. ITERATED INTEGRALS AND MAURER-CARTAN FORMS

We review iterated integrals introduced by K.-T. Chen [3] and the Maurer-Cartan form on a Lie group. In §2 we will describe the Johnson map of the automorphism group of a free group as an iterated integral of a certain kind of Maurer-Cartan forms on the space of all the ($\mathbb{R}$-valued) Magnus expansions of the free group. Moreover, in Part II, the harmonic Magnus expansion will be defined as an iterated integral over the universal family of compact Riemann surfaces.

Our definition of iterated integrals is slightly different from Chen's original one [3]. In fact, for a topological space M and paths ℓ_0, $\ell_1 : [0,1] \to M$ with $\ell_0(1) =$

$\ell_1(0)$, we define the product $\ell_1 \cdot \ell_0 : [0,1] \to M$ by

$$(\ell_1 \cdot \ell_0)(t) := \begin{cases} \ell_0(2t), & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq 1/2, \\ \ell_1(2t-1), & \text{if } 1/2 \leq t \leq 1, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

which is in reverse order to [3] 1.5, p.221.

Let T be an associative $\mathbb{R}$-algebra with a unit element 1 with a decreasing filtration of two-sided ideals T_p, $p \geq 1$, of finite real codimension. We assume $T_p \cdot T_q \subset T_{p+q}$ for any p and $q \geq 1$, and the natural map $T \to \varprojlim_{p\to\infty} T/T_p$ is an isomorphism

$$T \cong \varprojlim_{p\to\infty} T/T_p. \tag{1.2}$$

Let $f_i(t)$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, be a continuous function defined on a closed interval $[a,b]$, $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, with values in the ideal T_1. We have a T_1-valued 1-form $\varphi_i := f_i(t)dt$ on the interval $[a,b]$. The iterated integral of $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \ldots, \varphi_m$ along $[a,b]$ is defined by

$$\int_a^b \varphi_1\varphi_2\cdots\varphi_m := \int_{b\geq t_1\geq\cdots\geq t_m\geq a} f_1(t_1)f_2(t_2)\cdots f_m(t_m)dt_1dt_2\cdots dt_m.$$

If $m \geq 2$, we have

$$\int_a^b \varphi_1\varphi_2\cdots\varphi_m = \int_a^b f_1(t_1)\left(\int_a^{t_1} \varphi_2\cdots\varphi_m\right)dt_1. \tag{1.3}$$

Now suppose $f_1(t) = f_2(t) = \cdots = f_m(t) =: f(t)$, i.e., $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2 = \cdots = \varphi_m =: \varphi$. Then

$$F(t) := 1 + \sum\nolimits_{m=1}^{\infty} \int_a^t \overbrace{\varphi\varphi\cdots\varphi}^{m \text{ times}}$$

converges because of the assumption (1.2) and $f(t) \in T_1$. From (1.3) the function $F(t)$ is the unique solution of the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{d}{dt}F(t) = f(t)F(t), \\ F(a) = 1. \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

Let M be a C^∞ manifold, and E a C^∞ vector bundle over M. We denote by $C^\infty(M;E)$ the space of all the C^∞ sections of E over M. If E is a flat vector bundle over M, then we denote the twisted de Rham complex with coefficients in E by

$$\Omega^*(M;E) = \bigoplus\nolimits_{q=0}^{\infty} \Omega^q(M;E) = \bigoplus\nolimits_{q=0}^{\infty} C^\infty(M;(\Lambda^qT^*M)\otimes E),$$

where Λ^qT^*M is the q-cotangent bundle of M, $q \geq 0$. Moreover we write simply $\Omega^q(M)\widehat{\otimes}T_p$ for $\Omega^q(M; M\times T_p)$, $p \geq 1$, which is equal to $\varprojlim_{m\to\infty}(\Omega^q(M)\otimes(T_p/T_m))$. For any piecewise C^∞ path $\ell : [0,1] \to M$ and any T_1-valued 1-forms $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \ldots, \varphi_m \in \Omega^1(M)\widehat{\otimes}T_1$ the iterated integral is defined by

$$\int_\ell \varphi_1\varphi_2\cdots\varphi_m = \int_0^1 (\ell^*\varphi_1)(\ell^*\varphi_2)\cdots(\ell^*\varphi_m).$$

Let $h \in \Omega^0(M)\widehat{\otimes}T$ be a T-valued function with $dh \in \Omega^1(M)\widehat{\otimes}T_1$. The following formulae are well-known, and easy to prove.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_\ell (dh)\varphi_1\varphi_2\cdots\varphi_m = h(\ell(1))\int_\ell \varphi_1\varphi_2\cdots\varphi_m - \int_\ell (h\varphi_1)\varphi_2\cdots\varphi_m\\
&\int_\ell \varphi_1\cdots\varphi_{i-1}(dh)\varphi_{i+1}\cdots\varphi_m \qquad (1.5)\\
= &\int_\ell \varphi_1\cdots\varphi_{i-2}(\varphi_{i-1}h)\varphi_{i+1}\cdots\varphi_m - \int_\ell \varphi_1\cdots\varphi_{i-1}(h\varphi_{i+1})\varphi_{i+2}\cdots\varphi_m\\
&\int_\ell \varphi_1\varphi_2\cdots\varphi_m(dh) = \int_\ell \varphi_1\varphi_2\cdots(\varphi_m h) - \left(\int_\ell \varphi_1\varphi_2\cdots\varphi_m\right) h(\ell(0))
\end{aligned}$$

Any T_1-valued 1-form $\varphi \in \Omega^1(M)\widehat{\otimes}T_1$ defines the connection

$$\nabla : \Omega^0(M)\widehat{\otimes}T \to \Omega^1(M)\widehat{\otimes}T, \quad u \mapsto du - \varphi u$$

on the product bundle $M \times T$. For any piecewise C^∞ path $\ell : [0,1] \to M$ we denote $\ell_t(s) := \ell(ts)$, $0 \le t, s \le 1$. Then, from (1.4), the iterated integral

$$F_\ell(t) = 1 + \sum\nolimits_{m=1}^{\infty} \int_{\ell_t} \overbrace{\varphi\varphi\cdots\varphi}^{m \text{ times}}$$

is the horizontal lift of ℓ through $F_\ell(0) = 1$. If the connection ∇ is flat, i.e., φ satisfies the integrability condition

$$d\varphi = \varphi \wedge \varphi, \tag{1.6}$$

then $F_\ell(1)$ is invariant under any homotopy of ℓ fixing the endpoints $\ell(0)$ and $\ell(1)$.

Next we recall the Maurer-Cartan formula. Let G be a Lie subgroup of the general linear group $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ for a $\mathbb{R}$-vector space V, and $\mathfrak{g}$ its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} := \mathrm{Lie}(G)$. Suppose the manifold M has a left free transitive C^∞ action of G. Then M is diffeomorphic to G. The global trivialization

$$M \times \mathfrak{g} \overset{\cong}{\to} TM, \quad (x,u) \mapsto \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \exp(tu)x$$

can be regarded as a $\mathfrak{g}$-valued 1-form $\eta \in \Omega^1(M)\widehat{\otimes}\mathfrak{g}$, which is, by definition, the Maurer-Cartan form for the action of G on M.

The Maurer-Cartan form η satisfies the integrability condition (1.6). In fact, choose a point $x_0 \in M$, and define a C^∞ map

$$F = F^{x_0} : M \to G \subset \mathrm{GL}(V) \subset \mathrm{End}(V)$$

by $F(gx_0) = g$ for $g \in G$. Then, for any $u \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $g \in G$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&((dF)F^{-1})\left(\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}\exp(tu)gx_0\right) = \left(\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}\exp(tu)g\right)g^{-1}\\
= &\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}\exp(tu) = u = \eta\left(\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}\exp(tu)gx_0\right),
\end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$dF = \eta F \in \Omega^1(M)\widehat{\otimes}\mathrm{End}(V). \tag{1.7}$$

This implies $0 = ddF = d(\eta F) = (d\eta - \eta\wedge\eta)F$. Hence we obtain

$$d\eta = \eta\wedge\eta \in \Omega^2(M)\widehat{\otimes}\mathrm{End}(V), \tag{1.8}$$

which is just the Maurer-Cartan formula.

From (1.4) and (1.7) we have

$$g = 1 + \sum\nolimits_{m=1}^{\infty} \int_{x_0}^{gx_0} \overbrace{\eta\eta\cdots\eta}^{m \text{ times}} \in \mathrm{End}(V) \tag{1.9}$$

for any $g \in G$, where the iterated integral on the right hand side is along any piecewise G^∞ path from x_0 to gx_0.

2. Magnus Expansions and Johnson Maps

Following [11] we recall the notion of Magnus expansions of a free group in a generalized sense. A certain Lie group $\mathrm{IA}(\widehat{T})$ acts on the space of Magnus expansions, which yields the Johnson maps defined on the whole automorphism group of the free group.

Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer, F_n a free group of rank n with free basis $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$

$$F_n = \langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \rangle.$$

We denote the first real homology group of F_n by

$$H := {F_n}^{\mathrm{abel}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} = H_1(F_n; \mathbb{R}),$$

$[\gamma] := (\gamma \bmod [F_n, F_n]) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} 1 \in H$ for $\gamma \in F_n$, and $X_i := [x_i] \in H$ for i, $1 \leq i \leq n$. For the rest of the paper we write simply $\otimes$ and Hom for the tensor product and the homomorphisms over the real numbers $\mathbb{R}$, respectively. The completed tensor algebra generated by H

$$\widehat{T} = \widehat{T}(H) := \prod\nolimits_{m=0}^{\infty} H^{\otimes m}$$

is equal to the ring of noncommutative formal power series $\mathbb{R}\langle\langle X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \rangle\rangle$. The two-sided ideals

$$\widehat{T}_p := \prod\nolimits_{m \geq p} H^{\otimes m}, \quad p \geq 1,$$

give a decreasing filtration of the algebra $\widehat{T}$, which satisfies the condition (1.2). For each m we regard $H^{\otimes m}$ as a subspace of $\widehat{T}$ in an obvious way. So we can write

$$z = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} z_m = z_0 + z_1 + z_2 + \cdots + z_m + \cdots$$

for $z = (z_m) \in \widehat{T}$, $z_m \in H^{\otimes m}$. The subset $1 + \widehat{T}_1$ is a subgroup of the multiplicative group of the algebra $\widehat{T}$.

Definition 2.1 ([11] Definition 1.1)**.** A map $\theta : F_n \to 1 + \widehat{T}_1$ is a (real-valued) Magnus expansion of the free group F_n, if

1. $\theta : F_n \to 1 + \widehat{T}_1$ is a group homomorphism, and
2. $\theta(\gamma) \equiv 1 + [\gamma] \pmod{\widehat{T}_2}$ for any $\gamma \in F_n$.

We denote by $\Theta_n = \Theta_{n,\mathbb{R}}$ the set of all the (real-valued) Magnus expansions.

Now we consider the group $\mathrm{Aut}(\widehat{T})$ of all the filtration-preserving $\mathbb{R}$-algebra automorphisms of the algebra $\widehat{T}$. Here an $\mathbb{R}$-algebra automorophism U of $\widehat{T}$ is defined to be **filtration-preserving** if $U(\widehat{T}_p) = \widehat{T}_p$ for each $p \geq 1$. The group $\mathrm{Aut}(\widehat{T})$ is a (projective limit of) Lie group(s) in a natural way. We denote by $|U| \in \mathrm{GL}(H)$ the isomorphism of $H = \widehat{T}_1/\widehat{T}_2$ induced by $U \in \mathrm{Aut}(\widehat{T})$. We define

$$\mathrm{IA}(\widehat{T}) := \mathrm{Ker}|\cdot| \subset \mathrm{Aut}(\widehat{T}),$$

which is a closed subgroup of the Lie group $\mathrm{Aut}(\widehat{T})$.

If $U \in \mathrm{IA}(\widehat{T})$ and $\theta \in \Theta_n$, then the composite $U \circ \theta : F_n \xrightarrow{\theta} 1 + \widehat{T}_1 \xrightarrow{U} 1 + \widehat{T}_1$ is also a Magnus expansion. This means the group $\mathrm{IA}(\widehat{T})$ acts on the set Θ_n. The following was proved in [11] Theorem 1.3 (2).

Theorem 2.2. *The action of the group $IA(\widehat{T})$ on the set Θ_n is free and transitive.*

It enables us to regard the set Θ_n as a (projective limit of) C^∞ manifold(s). We denote the Maurer-Cartan form for the action by

$$\eta \in \Omega^1(\Theta_n) \widehat{\otimes} \, \mathrm{Lie}\, \mathrm{IA}(\widehat{T}).$$

In order to study the Lie algebra $\mathrm{Lie}\,\mathrm{IA}(\widehat{T})$ we introduce the $\mathbb{R}$-algebra $\mathrm{End}(\widehat{T})$ consisting of all the $\mathbb{R}$-linear maps $u : \widehat{T} \to \widehat{T}$ satisfying $u(\widehat{T}_m) \subset \widehat{T}_m$ for each $m \geq 1$. $\mathrm{IA}(\widehat{T})$ and $\mathrm{Lie}\,\mathrm{IA}(\widehat{T})$ can be regarded as subsets of $\mathrm{End}(\widehat{T})$ in a natural way. A linear map $u \in \mathrm{End}(\widehat{T})$ belongs to $\mathrm{Lie}\,\mathrm{IA}(\widehat{T})$, if and only if $u(\widehat{T}_1) \subset \widehat{T}_2$ and u is a derivation, i.e., it satisfies

$$u(ab) = u(a)b + au(b) \tag{2.1}$$

for any a and $b \in \widehat{T}$. Hence the restriction map to H

$$\mathrm{Lie}\,\mathrm{IA}(\widehat{T}) \to \mathrm{Hom}(H, \widehat{T}_2), \quad u \mapsto u|_H$$

is an $\mathbb{R}$-linear isomorphism. If we denote $H^* = \mathrm{Hom}(H, \mathbb{R})$, then we have an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Lie}\,\mathrm{IA}(\widehat{T}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(H, \widehat{T}_2) = H^* \otimes \widehat{T}_2 = \prod\nolimits_{p=1}^{\infty} H^* \otimes H^{\otimes(p+1)}. \tag{2.2}$$

Immediately from (2.1) follows

Lemma 2.3. *For u and $v \in Lie\, IA(\widehat{T}) \subset End(\widehat{T})$ denote*

$$u|_H = \sum\nolimits_{p=1}^{\infty} u_p, \quad v|_H = \sum\nolimits_{p=1}^{\infty} v_p, \quad \textit{and} \quad uv|_H = \sum\nolimits_{p=1}^{\infty} w_p,$$

where u_p, v_p and $w_p \in H^* \otimes H^{\otimes(p+1)}$. Then we have

$$w_p = \sum_{s=1}^{p-1} (\underbrace{u_s \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes u_s \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 + \cdots + 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 \otimes u_s}_{p-s+1}) \circ v_{p-s}.$$

Let $\eta_p \in C^\infty(\Theta_n; T^*\Theta_n) \otimes H^* \otimes H^{\otimes(p+1)}$ be the p-th component of the Maurer-Cartan form

$$\eta = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \eta_p \in \Omega^1(\Theta_n) \widehat{\otimes} \mathrm{Lie}\,\mathrm{IA}(\widehat{T}) = \prod_{p=1}^{\infty} \Omega^1(\Theta_n) \otimes H^* \otimes H^{\otimes(p+1)}.$$

By Lemma 2.3 the Maurer-Cartan formula (1.8) is equivalent to

Lemma 2.4.

$$\begin{gathered} d\eta_1 = 0, \\ d\eta_2 = (\eta_1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \eta_1)\eta_1 \\ d\eta_p = \sum\nolimits_{s=1}^{p-1} (\underbrace{\eta_s \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 + \cdots + 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 \otimes \eta_s}_{p-s+1}) \eta_{p-s}. \end{gathered} \tag{2.3}$$

As will be discussed in §3, the formula (2.3) suggests a close relation between the Stasheff associahedron and the Maurer-Cartan form η.

Now we consider the automorphism group of the group F_n, $\mathrm{Aut}(F_n)$. We denote by $|\varphi| \in \mathrm{GL}(H)$ the induced map on $H = H_1(F_n;\mathbb{R})$ by $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}(F_n)$. Fix a Magnus expansion $\theta \in \Theta_n$. Then **the total Johnson map** induced by θ

$$\tau^\theta : \mathrm{Aut}(F_n) \to \mathrm{IA}(\widehat{T}), \quad \varphi \mapsto \tau^\theta(\varphi)$$

is defined by

$$\tau^\theta(\varphi)^{-1} \circ \theta = |\varphi| \circ \theta \circ \varphi^{-1} \tag{2.4}$$

in [11] §2. For each $p \geq 1$, **the p-th Johnson map** induced by θ

$$\tau_p^\theta : \mathrm{Aut}(F_n) \to H^* \otimes H^{\otimes(p+1)}$$

is defined to be the p-th component of the total Johnson map τ^θ, i.e., we have

$$\tau^\theta(\varphi)|_H = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \tau_p^\theta(\varphi) \in \mathrm{Hom}(H, \widehat{T}_2) = \prod_{p=1}^{\infty} H^* \otimes H^{\otimes(p+1)}.$$

From (1.9) and (2.4) we obtain an integral presentation of the total Johnson map

$$\tau^\theta(\varphi)^{-1} = 1 + \sum\nolimits_{m=1}^{\infty} \int_\theta^{|\varphi|\circ\theta\circ\varphi^{-1}} \overbrace{\eta\eta\cdots\eta}^{m \text{ times}} \tag{2.5}$$

for any $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}(F_n)$. In particular, we have

$$\tau_1^\theta(\varphi) = -\int_\theta^{|\varphi|\circ\theta\circ\varphi^{-1}} \eta_1. \tag{2.6}$$

Finally we consider a C^∞ path θ^t, $|t| \ll 1$, on the space Θ_n. Then there exists a C^∞ path $u(t) \in \mathrm{Lie}\,\mathrm{IA}(\widehat{T})$ such that $u(0) = 0$ and $\theta^t = \exp(u(t)) \circ \theta^0$. We have $\eta(\dot{\theta}(0)) = \dot{u}(0)$ and $\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}\theta^t(\gamma) = \dot{u}(0)\theta^0(\gamma)$ for $\gamma \in F_n$. Hence we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}\theta^t(\gamma) = \eta(\dot{\theta}(0))\theta^0(\gamma) \tag{2.7}$$

for any $\gamma \in F_n$. Here $\eta(\dot{\theta}(0)) \in \mathrm{Lie}\,\mathrm{IA}(\widehat{T}) \cong H^* \otimes \widehat{T}_2$ acts on $\theta^0(\gamma) \in \widehat{T}$ as a derivation on the algebra $\widehat{T}$ (2.1).

3. STASHEFF ASSOCIAHEDRONS AND TWISTED MORITA-MUMFORD CLASSES

Let $p \geq 1$ be an integer. The Stasheff associahedron K_{p+1} [26] is a finite regular cell complex, each of whose cells corresponds to a meaningful way of inserting one set of parentheses into the word $123\cdots p+1$ of $p+1$ letters. It is homeomorphic to the cube I^{p-1}. One can observe such a way indicates some twisted differential form on the space Θ_n. For example, ((123)4) indicates $(\eta_2 \otimes 1)\eta_1 \in \Omega^2(\Theta_n) \otimes H^* \otimes H^{\otimes 4}$, ((1(23))4) $(1 \otimes \eta_1 \otimes 1)(\eta_1 \otimes 1)\eta_1 \in \Omega^3(\Theta_n) \otimes H^* \otimes H^{\otimes 4}$, and so on. Our purpose in this section is to construct a p-cochain Y in the double cochain complex

$$Y = Y_p \in C^{*,*}_{(p)} := C^*(K_{p+1}; \Big(\Omega^*(\Theta_n) \otimes H^* \otimes H^{\otimes(p+1)}\Big)^{\mathrm{Aut}(F_n)})$$

by assembling the Maurer-Cartan forms $\eta_s \in \left(\Omega^*(\Theta_n) \otimes H^* \otimes H^{\otimes(s+1)}\right)^{\mathrm{Aut}(F_n)}$, $s \geq 1$. Here $C^*(K_{p+1})$ means the cellular cochain complex of K_{p+1}. The Maurer-Cartan formula (2.3) implies Y_p is a p-cocycle (Proposition 3.1). Since K_{p+1} is contractible, the cocycle Y_p induces a twisted de Rham cohomology class

$$[Y_p] \in H^p(\Big(\Omega^*(\Theta_n) \otimes H^* \otimes H^{\otimes(p+1)}\Big)^{\mathrm{Aut}(F_n)}).$$

This is essentially equal to the twisted Morita-Mumford class $h_p \in H^p(\mathrm{Aut}(F_n); H^* \otimes H^{\otimes(p+1)})$ [10][11] (Theorem 3.2).

In order to construct the p-cochain Y_p we fix some notations on the Stasheff associahedron K_{p+1}. Let S_p and $\tilde{S}_p$ be the sets of all the cells and the ordered cells in K_{p+1}, respectively. We have the canonical projection $\tilde{S}_p \to S_p$, which is clearly a 2-1 map. From the definition each element of S_p is regarded as a meaningful way of inserting one set of parentheses into the word $12 \cdots p+1$. For any $\overline{w} \in S_p$ we define the degree $\deg(\overline{w})$ by the number of pairs of parentheses. For example $\deg(((123)4)) = 2$ and $\deg(((1(23))4)) = 3$. The dimension of the cell corresponding to $\overline{w}$ is equal to $p - \deg(\overline{w})$. Following Stasheff [26] we regard K_{p+1} as a subpolyhedron of the same dimension in I^{p-1} with the standard orientation. Thus we consider K_{p+1} itself as an element of $\tilde{S}_p$ of degree 1. On the other hand, let $S^0_p \subset S_p$ denote the vertices of K_{p+1}. We can consider $+w$ and $-w$ as elements of $\tilde{S}_p$.

Stasheff introduced the face map $\partial_k(r,s) : K_r \times K_s \to K_{p+1}$ for $r+s = p+2$ and $1 \leq k \leq r$, to describe the boundary ∂K_{p+1}. The image $\partial_k(r,s)(K_r \times K_s)$ is the cell corresponding to $1 \cdots k-1(k \cdots k+s-1)k+s \cdots r+s-1$. The sign of the map $\partial_k(r,s)$ is $(-1)^{s(r-k)+k}$, namely, we have

$$\partial K_{p+1} = \sum (-1)^{s(r-k)+k} \partial_k(r,s)(K_r \times K_s) \in C_{p-2}(K_{p+1})$$

as cellular chains in K_{p+1}. The map $\partial_k(r,s)$ should indicate $\pm 1^{\otimes(k-1)} \otimes \eta_{s-1} \otimes 1^{\otimes(r-k)} \in \Omega^1(\Theta_n) \otimes H^* \otimes \mathrm{Hom}(H^{\otimes r}, H^{\otimes(r+s-1)})$.

For simplicity we write

$$\partial_{a,p',b} := \partial_{a+1}(a+b+1, p'+1) : K_{a+b+1} \times K_{p'+1} \to K_{a+b+p'+1}$$

for $a, b \geq 0$ and $p' \geq 1$. It indicates $\pm 1^{\otimes a} \otimes \eta_{p'} \otimes 1^{\otimes b} \in \Omega^1(\Theta_n) \otimes H^* \otimes \mathrm{Hom}(H^{\otimes(a+b+1)}, H^{\otimes(a+b+p'+1)})$ and its sign is $(-1)^{(p'+1)b+a+1}$. We have

$$\partial K_{p+1} = \sum_{k,s} (-1)^{(s+1)(p+k)+k+1} \partial_{k,s,p-k-s}(K_{p-s+1} \times K_{s+1}). \tag{3.1}$$

Looking at an innermost pair of parentheses, we find out any oriented cell in K_{p+1} other than $\pm K_{p+1}$ is given by $\partial_{a,p',b}(w \times K_{p'+1})$ for some integers a, p', b and $w \in \tilde{S}_{a+b+1}$. Clearly $\deg \partial_{a,p',b}(w \times K_{p'+1}) = \deg w + 1$. In our notation the relations 3(a) and (b) in [26] p.278 among the face maps are given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_{a+k,s,p'-s-k+b}(\partial_{a,p'-s,b} \times 1) = \partial_{a,p',b}(1 \times \partial_{k,s,p'-k-s}) : \\ &\qquad\qquad K_{a+b+1} \times K_{p'-s+1} \times K_{s+1} \to K_{a+b+p'+1} \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_{a,p',b+c+p''+1}(\partial_{a+b+1,p'',c} \times 1)(1 \times T) = \partial_{a+b+p'+1,p'',c}(\partial_{a,p',b+c+1} \times 1) : \\ &\qquad\qquad K_{a+b+c+1} \times K_{p'+1} \times K_{p''+1} \to K_{a+b+c+p'+p''+1}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

respectively. Here $T : K_{p'+1} \times K_{p''+1} \to K_{p''+1} \times K_{p'+1}$ is the switch map.

Now we can define the map

$$Y : \coprod_{p\geq 1} \tilde{S}_p \to \bigoplus_{p\geq 1} \left(\Omega^*(\Theta_n) \otimes H^* \otimes H^{\otimes(p+1)}\right)^{\mathrm{Aut}(F_n)}$$

inductively on $\deg w$ by

$$\begin{aligned} & Y(\pm K_{p+1}) := \pm \eta_p, \quad \text{and} \\ & Y(\partial_{a,p',b}(w \times K_{p'+1})) := (-1)^{a+(p'+1)b+\deg w}(1^{\otimes a} \otimes \eta_{p'} \otimes 1^{\otimes b})Y(w). \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

An innermost pair of parentheses is not necessarily unique. We have to prove the definition (3.4) is independent of the choice of innermost pairs.

It suffices to compute the sign related to the twisted 2-form $1^{\otimes a} \otimes \eta_{p'} \otimes 1^{\otimes b} \otimes \eta_{p''} \otimes 1^{\otimes c}$ in two different ways. Let w be an element of $\tilde{S}_{a+b+c+1}$. By a straightforward computation one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^{(p'-1)(p''-1)} Y(\partial_{a,p',b+c+p''+1}(\partial_{a+b+1,p'',c} \times 1)(w \times K_{p''+1} \times K_{p'+1})) \\ = \; & (-1)^{(p'+1)(b+c)+(p''+1)c+b}(1^{\otimes a} \otimes \eta_{p'} \otimes 1^{\otimes b} \otimes \eta_{p''} \otimes 1^{\otimes c})Y(w) \\ = \; & Y(\partial_{a+b+p'+1,p'',c}(\partial_{a,p',b+c+1} \times 1)(w \times K_{p'+1} \times K_{p''+1})). \end{aligned}$$

The restriction $Y_p := Y|_{\tilde{S}_p} : \tilde{S}_p \to \left(\Omega^*(\Theta_n) \otimes H^* \otimes H^{\otimes(p+1)}\right)^{\mathrm{Aut}(F_n)}$ can be regarded as a p-cochain of the double complex

$$C^{*,*}_{(p)} = C^*(K_{p+1}; \left(\Omega^*(\Theta_n) \otimes H^* \otimes H^{\otimes(p+1)}\right)^{\mathrm{Aut}(F_n)}).$$

The Maurer-Cartan formula (2.3) implies

Proposition 3.1.

$$(dY)(w) = (\delta Y)(w)$$

for any $p \geq 1$ and $w \in \tilde{S}_p$. In other words, $Y_p \in C^{*,*}_{(p)}$ is a p-cocycle.

Proof. We prove it by induction on $\deg w$. By (2.3) and (3.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (dY)(K_{p+1}) = d\eta_p = \sum_{k,s} (1^{\otimes k} \otimes \eta_s \otimes 1^{\otimes(p-s-k)})\eta_{p-s} \\ = \; & \sum_{k,s} (-1)^{(s+1)(p+k)+k+1} Y(\partial_{k,s,p-k-s}(K_{p-s+1} \times K_{s+1})) \\ = \; & Y(\partial K_{p+1}) = (\delta Y)(K_{p+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Assume $w \in \tilde{S}_{a+b+1}$ satisfies the proposition. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & dY(\partial_{a,p',b}(w \times K_{p'+1})) \\ = \; & (-1)^{a+(p'+1)b+\deg w} d((1^{\otimes a} \otimes \eta_{p'} \otimes 1^{\otimes b})Y(w)) \\ = \; & (-1)^{a+(p'+1)b+\deg w}(1^{\otimes a} \otimes d\eta_{p'} \otimes 1^{\otimes b})Y(w) \\ & +(-1)^{a+(p'+1)b+\deg w+1}(1^{\otimes a} \otimes \eta_{p'} \otimes 1^{\otimes b})dY(w). \end{aligned}$$

Then from the Maurer-Cartan formula (2.3), (3.2) and (3.1) follows

$$\begin{aligned}
& (-1)^{p'b}(1^{\otimes a}\otimes d\eta_{p'}\otimes 1^{\otimes b})Y(w) \\
= \; & (-1)^{p'b}\sum_{k,s}(1^{\otimes(a+k)}\otimes\eta_s\otimes 1^{\otimes(p'-s-k+b)})(1^{\otimes a}\otimes\eta_{p'-s}\otimes 1^{\otimes b})Y(w) \\
= \; & \sum_{k,s}(-1)^{k+(s+1)(p'-k)+1}Y(\partial_{a+k,s,p'-s-k+b}(\partial_{a,p'-s,b}\times 1)(w\times K_{p'-s+1}\times K_{s+1})) \\
= \; & \sum_{k,s}(-1)^{k+(s+1)(p'-k)+1}Y(\partial_{a,p',b}(1\times\partial_{k,s,p'-k-s})(w\times K_{p'-s+1}\times K_{s+1})) \\
= \; & Y(\partial_{a,p',b}(w\times\partial K_{p'+1})).
\end{aligned}$$

By the inductive assumption we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (1^{\otimes a}\otimes\eta_{p'}\otimes 1^{\otimes b})dY(w) = (1^{\otimes a}\otimes\eta_{p'}\otimes 1^{\otimes b})(\delta Y)(w) \\
= \; & (1^{\otimes a}\otimes\eta_{p'}\otimes 1^{\otimes b})Y(\partial w) = (-1)^{a+(p'+1)b+\deg w+1}Y(\partial_{a,p',b}((\partial w)\times K_{p'+1})).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& dY(\partial_{a,p',b}(w\times K_{p'+1})) \\
= \; & (-1)^{a+b-\deg w}Y(\partial_{a,p',b}(w\times\partial K_{p'+1})+Y(\partial_{a,p',b}((\partial w)\times K_{p'+1})) \\
= \; & Y(\partial_{a,p',b}\partial(w\times K_{p'+1}))=Y(\partial(\partial_{a,p',b}(w\times K_{p'+1}))) \\
= \; & (\delta Y)(\partial_{a,p',b}(w\times K_{p'+1})).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the induction. □

We define

$$w_p^0 := ((((12)3)\cdots)p+1)\in S_p^0\subset\tilde{S}_p.$$

Then $\deg w_p^0 = p$ and $w_p^0 = \partial_{0,1,p-1}(w_{p-1}^0\times K_2)$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_p(w_p^0) &= (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}p(p-1)}(\eta_1\otimes 1^{\otimes(p-1)})\cdots(\eta_1\otimes 1)\eta_1 \\
&= (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}p(p-1)}\varsigma_{p*}({\eta_1}^{\otimes p})\in\Omega^p(\Theta_n)\otimes H^*\otimes H^{\otimes(p+1)}, \qquad (3.5)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\varsigma_p : (H^*\otimes H^{\otimes 2})^{\otimes p}\to H^*\otimes H^{\otimes(p+1)}$ is a $\mathrm{GL}(H)$-homomorphism indicated by w_p^0 [11] §4. In ibid. Theorem 4.1 we proved

$$h_p = \varsigma_{p*}([\tau_1^\theta]^{\otimes p})\in H^p(\mathrm{Aut}(F_n); H^*\otimes H^{\otimes(p+1)}) \qquad (3.6)$$

for any $p\geq 1$. Here θ is any Magnus expansion and h_p is a cohomology class introduced in ibid. §4. The restriction to the mapping class group $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}$ of genus g with 1 boundary component is essentially equal to the $(0,p+2)$-twisted Morita-Mumford class [10]

$$(p+2)!\,h_p|_{\mathcal{M}_{g,1}} = m_{0,p+2}\in H^p(\mathcal{M}_{g,1}; H^*\otimes H^{\otimes(p+1)})$$

[11] (5.8). As was shown in [21], [14] and [15], each of the Morita-Mumford classes e_i is obtained by contracting the coefficients of the twisted ones in a suitable way using the intersection form on the homology group of the surface.

Let M be a connected C^∞ manifold, $\widehat{f}:\pi_1(M)\to\mathrm{Aut}(F_n)$ a group homomorphism, and $f:\widetilde{M}\to\Theta_n$ an equivariant C^∞ map of the universal covering space $\widetilde{M}$ of M into Θ_n with respect to $\widehat{f}$. Then we obtain the pullback

$$f^*Y_p\in C^*(K_{p+1};\Omega^*(M;H^*\otimes H^{\otimes(p+1)}))$$

for any $p \geq 1$. Hence we regard $H^* \otimes H^{\otimes(p+1)}$ as a flat vector bundle over M through the homomorphism $\widehat{f}$. Since K_{p+1} is contractible, an isomorphism

$$H^*(C^*(K_{p+1}; \Omega^*(M; H^* \otimes H^{\otimes(p+1)}))) = H^*(M; H^* \otimes H^{\otimes(p+1)}) \tag{3.7}$$

holds and maps $[f^*Y_p]$ to the twisted de Rham class $(-1)^{\frac{1}{2}p(p-1)}\varsigma_{p*}([\eta_1]^{\otimes p})$. From (2.5) and (3.6) we have $h_1 = -[\eta_1] \in H^1(M; H^* \otimes H^{\otimes 2})$. Therefore we obtain

Theorem 3.2.

$$f^*[Y_p] = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}p(p+1)}\widehat{f}^*h_p \in H^p(M; H^* \otimes H^{\otimes(p+1)})$$

under the isomorphism (3.7).

Let $\mathbb{M}_{g,1}$ be the moduli space of triples (C, P_0, v) of genus $g \geq 1$, where C is a compact Riemann surface of genus g, $P_0 \in C$, and v a non-zero tangent vector of C at P_0. It is known that $\mathbb{M}_{g,1} = B\mathcal{M}_{g,1}$. In Part II we will construct an equivariant real analytic map $\theta : \widetilde{\mathbb{M}_{g,1}} \to \Theta_{2g}$ we call **the harmonic Magnus expansion** (§§4-6) and compute the pullback $\theta^*\eta_p$ in an explicit way (§§7-8).

Part 2. Harmonic Magnus Expansions

4. Fundamental Group with a Tangential Basepoint

Let $g \geq 1$ be a positive integer. In §§4-6 we construct **the harmonic Magnus expansion** of a **triple** (C, P_0, v) **of genus** g

$$\theta^{(C,P_0,v)} : \pi_1(C, P_0, v) \to 1 + \widehat{T}_1(H_1(C; \mathbb{R})),$$

where C is a compact Riemann surface of genus g, $P_0 \in C$ and $v \in T_{P_0}C \setminus \{0\}$. $\pi_1(C, P_0, v)$ is the fundamental group of the triple (C, P_0, v), which will be defined in this section as the fundamental group of the complement $C \setminus \{P_0\}$ with the tangential basepoint v. Moreover $\widehat{T} = \widehat{T}(H_1(C; \mathbb{R}))$ is the completed tensor algebra generated by the first real homology group $H_1(C; \mathbb{R})$ of the Riemann surface C

$$\widehat{T}(H_1(C; \mathbb{R})) := \prod\nolimits_{m=0}^{\infty} H_1(C; \mathbb{R})^{\otimes m}.$$

The two-sided ideal $\widehat{T}_p(H_1(C; \mathbb{R}))$ for each $p \geq 1$ is defined as in §2.

Up to §6 we study a **single** triple (C, P_0, v). So we write simply $H = H_1(C; \mathbb{R})$, $\widehat{T} = \widehat{T}(H_1(C; \mathbb{R}))$ and $\widehat{T}_p = \widehat{T}_p(H_1(C; \mathbb{R}))$.

Now we define the fundamental group $\pi_1(C, P_0, v)$ of the triple (C, P_0, v). We denote by $\mathfrak{L}(C, P_0, v)$ the set of all the piecewise C^∞ map $\ell : [0, 1] \to C$ satisfying the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} &\ell(]0,1[) \subset C \setminus \{P_0\}, \\ &\ell(0) = \ell(1) = P_0, \quad \text{and} \\ &\frac{d\ell}{dt}(0) = -\frac{d\ell}{dt}(1) = v. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

For ℓ_0 and $\ell_1 \in \mathfrak{L}(C, P_0, v)$ we define $\ell_0 \sim \ell_1$ if there exists a piecewise C^∞ map $L : [0,1] \times [0,1] \to C$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&L(]0,1[\times[0,1]) \subset C \setminus \{P_0\}, \\
&L(0,s) = L(1,s) = P_0, \quad \forall s \in [0,1], \\
&\frac{\partial L}{\partial t}(0,s) = -\frac{\partial L}{\partial t}(1,s) = v, \quad \forall s \in [0,1], \quad \text{and} \\
&L(t,0) = \ell_0(t), \quad L(t,1) = \ell_1(t), \quad \forall t \in [0,1].
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Clearly $\sim$ is an equivalent relation. We call the quotient set

$$\pi_1(C, P_0, v) := \mathfrak{L}(C, P_0, v)/\sim$$

the fundamental group of the triple (C, P_0, v) (with the tangential basepoint v). The set $\pi_1(C, P_0, v)$ has a natural group structure isomorphic to the free group of rank $2g$, F_{2g}. Its abelianization is naturally isomorphic to the first integral homology group of $C \setminus \{P_0\}$ and that of C

$$\pi_1(C, P_0, v)^{\text{abel}} = H_1(C \setminus \{P_0\}; \mathbb{Z}) = H_1(C; \mathbb{Z}).$$

We choose a symplectic generator $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{2g}$ of the fundamental group $\pi_1(C, P_0, v)$. It gives an isomorphism $F_{2g} \overset{\cong}{\to} \pi_1(C, P_0, v)$. A negative loop arround P_0 gives a word

$$w_0 := \prod\nolimits_{i=1}^{g} x_i x_{g+i} {x_i}^{-1} {x_{g+i}}^{-1}.$$

The first real homology group has the intersection number $\cdot$ satisfying

$$X_i \cdot X_{g+j} = \delta_{i,j}, \quad \text{and} \quad X_i \cdot X_j = X_{g+i} \cdot X_{g+j} = 0$$

for $1 \leq i, j \leq g$, where $X_i = [x_i] \in H$ as in §2. The intersection form I is equal to

$$I = \sum\nolimits_{i=1}^{g} (X_i X_{g+i} - X_{g+i} X_i) \in H^{\otimes 2}.$$

The Poincaré duality $\vartheta = \cap[C] : H^* = H^1(C; \mathbb{R}) \to H = H_1(C; \mathbb{R})$ and its inverse ϑ^{-1} are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\vartheta : H^* \overset{\cong}{\to} H, \quad \xi \mapsto -(\xi \otimes 1_H)(I), \quad \text{and} \\
&\vartheta^{-1} : H \overset{\cong}{\to} H^*, \quad Z \mapsto Z\cdot,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

respectively. For details, see [11] §5. Throughout Part II we identify H and its dual H^* by the isomorphism ϑ, which is equivariant under the action of the mapping class group.

Any element $u = \sum_{i=1}^{2g} u_i X_i \in \widehat{T} \otimes H = \widehat{T}_1$, $u_i \in \widehat{T}$, induces a derivation $int(u)$ on the algebra $\widehat{T}$. In fact, for any $Z_j \in H$, $1 \leq j \leq m$, we define

$$int(u)\,(Z_1 Z_2 \cdots Z_m) := int(u)(Z_1) Z_2 \cdots Z_m + \cdots + Z_1 \cdots Z_{m-1} int(u)(Z_m)$$

and $int(u)(Z_j) := \sum_i^{2g} u_i (X_i \cdot Z)$. Thus we obtain a linear map

$$int : \widehat{T}_1 \to \operatorname{Der}(\widehat{T}).$$

The m-th symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_m$ acts on $H^{\otimes m}$ by permuting the components. We define a linear automorphism ε of $\widehat{T}_1$ by

$$\varepsilon|_{H^{\otimes m}} := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & m-1 & m \\ 2 & 3 & \cdots & m & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.4}$$

It is easy to show

$$int(u)(I) = \varepsilon u - u, \tag{4.5}$$

which seems to be related to [22] Proposition 4.6, p.366.

As in (2.2) the space $\mathrm{Hom}(H, \widehat{T}_2) = H^* \otimes \widehat{T}_2$ can be regarded as a space of derivations on the algebra $\widehat{T}$. From (4.3) this action coincides with the composite

$$\mathrm{Hom}(H, \widehat{T}_2) = H^* \otimes \widehat{T}_2 \xrightarrow{\vartheta\otimes 1} H \otimes \widehat{T}_2 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon^{-1}} \widehat{T}_3 \xrightarrow{int} \mathrm{Der}(\widehat{T}). \tag{4.6}$$

5. The Connection 1-form ω

In §6 we will construct the harmonic Magnus expansion of any triple (C, P_0, v) of genus $g \geq 1$ by using Chen's iterated integrals [3], whose integrand is a real-valued connection 1-form

$$\omega \in A^1_{\mathbb{R}}(C) \mathbin{\widehat{\otimes}} \widehat{T}_1 = A^1_{\mathbb{R}}(C) \mathbin{\widehat{\otimes}} \widehat{T}_1(H_1(C;\mathbb{R})) = \prod\nolimits_{m=1}^{\infty} A^1_{\mathbb{R}}(C) \otimes H_1(C;\mathbb{R})^{\otimes m}$$

singular at the point P_0, constructed in a canonical way in this section. Here we denote by $A^1_{\mathbb{R}}(C)$ the real-valued 1-currents on C.

We begin by recalling some results on a Green operator for the compact Riemann surface C of genus g. For $q \geq 0$ we denote by $A^q(C)$ the complex-valued q-currents on C. The Hodge $*$-operator $* : (T^*_{\mathbb{R}}C) \otimes \mathbb{C} \to (T^*_{\mathbb{R}}C) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ on the cotangent bundle of C depends only on the complex structure of C. The $-\sqrt{-1}$-eigenspace is the holomorphic cotangent bundle T^*C, and the $\sqrt{-1}$-eigenspace the antiholomorphic cotangent bundle $\overline{T^*C}$. We have an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbb{C} \to A^0(C) \overset{d*d}{\to} A^2(C) \overset{\int_C}{\to} \mathbb{C} \to 0 \tag{5.1}$$

The vector space $\mathbb{C}$ on the left side means the constant functions. A **Green operator**

$$\Phi = \Phi^{(C,P_0)} : A^2(C) \to A^0(C)/\mathbb{C}$$

is defined by

$$d * d\Phi\Omega = \Omega - \left(\int_C \Omega\right)\delta_0 \tag{5.2}$$

for any $\Omega \in A^2(C)$. Here $\delta_0 = \delta_{P_0} : C^\infty(C) \to \mathbb{C}$, $f \mapsto f(P_0)$, is the delta current on C at the point P_0.

The operator Φ gives the Hodge decomposition of the 1-currents

$$\varphi = \mathcal{H}\varphi + d\Phi d * \varphi + *d\Phi d\varphi \tag{5.3}$$

for any $\varphi \in A^1(C)$, where $\mathcal{H} : A^1(C) \to A^1(C)$ is the harmonic projection on the 1-currents on C.

The Hodge $*$-operator decomposes the space $A^1(C)$ into the $\pm\sqrt{-1}$-eigenspaces

$$A^1(C) = A^{1,0}(C) \oplus A^{0,1}(C),$$

where $A^{1,0}(C)$ is the $-\sqrt{-1}$-eigenspace and $A^{0,1}(C)$ the $\sqrt{-1}$-eigenspace. Throughout Part II we denote by φ' and φ'' the $(1,0)$- and the $(0,1)$-parts of $\varphi \in A^1(C)$, respectively, i.e.,

$$\varphi = \varphi' + \varphi'', \quad *\varphi = -\sqrt{-1}\varphi' + \sqrt{-1}\varphi''.$$

If φ is harmonic, then φ' is holomorphic and φ'' anti-holomorphic.

Our purpose is to define a connection 1-form

$$\omega \in A^1(C) \mathbin{\widehat{\otimes}} \widehat{T}_1$$

for any pointed compact Riemann surface (C, P_0) in a canonical way. If $\varphi \in A^1(C)\widehat{\otimes}\widehat{T} = \prod_{m=0}^{\infty} A^1(C) \otimes H^{\otimes m}$ is a $\widehat{T}$-valued q-current, then we denote by $\varphi_{(m)}$ the m-th homogeneous term of φ

$$\varphi = \sum\nolimits_{m=0}^{\infty} \varphi_{(m)}, \quad \varphi_{(m)} \in A^1(C) \otimes H^{\otimes m}.$$

In §7 we have to evaluate the function $\Phi\Omega$ at the point P_0. Of course, $\Phi\Omega$ has not necessarily its own value at the singular point P_0 for any 2-current Ω. Hence we need to introduce some appropriate function spaces. Our consideration is based on the following result which Ahlfors and Bers used to prove Riemann's mapping theorem for variable metrics ([1] Lemmas 1 and 3, p.386).

Theorem 5.1. *Let $p > 2$. If f is a distribution defined on an open subset in $\mathbb{C}$, and its derivative $f_{\overline{z}}$ is locally L^p, then f itself is a Hölder continuous function of class $C^{0+(1-2/p)}$.*

We define the spaces $E^1(C)$, $E^0(C)$ and $E^0_0(C)$ by

$$E^1(C) := \bigcap_{2<p<\infty} L^p\left(C; (T^*_{\mathbb{R}}C) \otimes \mathbb{C}\right), \tag{5.4}$$

$$E^0(C) := \bigcap_{2<p<\infty} C^{0+(1-2/p)}\left(C; \mathbb{C}\right), \quad \text{and}$$

$$E^0_0(C, P_0) := \{f \in E^0(C); f(P_0) = 0\},$$

respectively. Then, for any $\varphi \in E^1(C)$, $\Phi d\varphi$ is contained in $E^0(C)$ up to translation of constant functions. In fact, the $(0,1)$-part satisfies

$$2\sqrt{-1}\partial\Phi d\varphi'' = (d\Phi d * + * d\Phi d)\varphi'' = (1 - \mathcal{H})\varphi'' \in E^1(C),$$

which implies $\Phi d\varphi'' \in E^0(C)$ by Theorem 5.1. Similarly we have $\Phi d\varphi' \in E^0(C)$.

Hence we may regard Φd as an operator $E^1(C) \to E^0(C)/\mathbb{C}$, and may normalize it by

$$(\Phi d\varphi)(P_0) = 0 \tag{5.5}$$

for any $\varphi \in E^1(C)$, namely, we consider it a linear map $\Phi d : E^1(C) \to E^0_0(C, P_0)$.

Now we define the m-th homogenous term $\omega_{(m)} \in A^1(C) \otimes H^{\otimes m}$ of the connection form ω by induction on m. The first term $\omega_{(1)} \in A^1(C) \otimes H$ comes from the real harmonic 1-forms on C. As in §4 we choose a symplectic basis $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^{2g}$ of H. Let $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^{2g}$ be the basis of the real harmonic 1-forms on C whose cohomology classes $[\xi_i]$, $1 \leq i \leq 2g$, form the dual basis of $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^{2g}$, i.e.,

$$\int_{X_j} \xi_i = \delta_{i,j}$$

for $1 \leq i, j \leq 2g$. We have $\vartheta[\xi_i] = -X_{g+i}$, $\vartheta[\xi_{g+i}] = X_i$,

$$\int_C \xi_i \wedge \xi_{g+j} = \delta_{i,j}, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_C \xi_i \wedge \xi_j = \int_C \xi_{g+i} \wedge \xi_{g+j} = 0 \tag{5.6}$$

for $1 \leq i, j \leq g$. We define

$$\omega_{(1)} := \sum_{i=1}^{g} \xi_i X_i + \xi_{g+i} X_{g+i}. \tag{5.7}$$

Clearly it is independent of the choice of a basis $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^{2g}$, and satisfies

$$\int_\ell \omega_{(1)} = [\ell] \in H = H_1(C;\mathbb{R}) \tag{5.8}$$

for any $\ell \in \mathfrak{L}(C, P_0, v)$. The harmonic projection $\mathcal{H} : A^1(C)\widehat{\otimes}\widehat{T} \to A^1(C)\widehat{\otimes}\widehat{T}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{H}\varphi = -\left(\int_C \varphi \wedge \omega_{(1)}\right) \cdot \omega_{(1)} \tag{5.9}$$

for any $\varphi \in A^1(C)\widehat{\otimes}\widehat{T}$. In fact, if $\mathcal{H}\varphi = \sum_{i=1}^g x_i\xi_i + y_i\xi_{g+i}$, $x_i, y_i \in \widehat{T}$, then the right-hand side of (5.9) is

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\left(\int_C \varphi \wedge \omega_{(1)}\right) \cdot \omega_{(1)} = -\left(\int_C (\mathcal{H}\varphi) \wedge \omega_{(1)}\right) \cdot \omega_{(1)} \\
= \quad & -\left(\int_C \left(\sum_{i=1}^g x_i\xi_i + y_i\xi_{g+i}\right) \wedge \left(\sum_{j=1}^g \xi_j X_j + \xi_{g+j}X_{g+j}\right)\right) \cdot \omega_{(1)} \\
= \quad & -\left(\sum_{i=1}^g x_i X_{g+i} - y_i X_i\right) \cdot \left(\sum_{j=1}^g \xi_j X_j + \xi_{g+j}X_{g+j}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^g x_i\xi_i + y_i\xi_{g+i} = \mathcal{H}\varphi.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover we have

$$\int_C \omega_{(1)} \wedge \omega_{(1)} = \sum\nolimits_{i=1}^g X_i X_{g+i} - X_{g+i}X_i = I. \tag{5.10}$$

Now we define $\omega_{(m)}$ for $m \geq 2$ inductively by

$$\omega_{(m)} := *d\Phi(\omega\wedge\omega)_{(m)} = *d\Phi\left(\sum\nolimits_{p=1}^{m-1} \omega_{(p)} \wedge \omega_{(m-p)}\right). \tag{5.11}$$

Then immediately we have

$$d\omega = \omega\wedge\omega - I\,\delta_0, \tag{5.12}$$

$$*d\Phi(\omega\wedge\omega) = \omega - \omega_{(1)}. \tag{5.13}$$

Next we study the regularity of the connection form $\omega_{(m)}$. We use the following classical result, whose proof one can find in Bers' lecture note [2].

Theorem 5.2. ([17][16]) *Let f be a distribution defined on an open subset in $\mathbb{C}$. Suppose $f_{\overline{z}}$ is Hölder continuous of class $C^{l+\alpha}$, $\alpha \in]0,1[$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Then f is of class $C^{l+1+\alpha}$.*

Clearly the harmonic form $\omega_{(1)}$ is of class C^∞ on the whole C. Theorem 5.2 implies $\omega_{(m)}$ is of class C^∞ on $C - \{P_0\}$ for any $m \geq 2$. Hence it suffices to study the regularity near the point P_0.

Immediately from Theorems 5.1 and 5.2 we have

Corollary 5.3. *Let f be a distribution defined on an open subset in $\mathbb{C}$. Suppose $d * df (= 2\sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}f)$ is locally L^p for any $p \in]2, +\infty[$. Then f is Hölder continuous of class $C^{1+\alpha}$ for any $\alpha \in]0,1[$, and $*df$ of class $C^{0+\alpha}$.*

We fix a complex coodinate z of C centered at P_0. In the polar coordinates

$$z = re^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, \quad r \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0},\ \theta = \arg z \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z},$$

the 1-current

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}d\theta = \frac{1}{2\pi}d\arg z = \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}}\left(\frac{dz}{z} - \frac{d\overline{z}}{\overline{z}}\right) = *d\left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\log|z|\right) \tag{5.14}$$

satisfies

$$\delta_0 = d\left(\frac{1}{2\pi}d\theta\right) = d\left(\frac{1}{2\pi}d\arg z\right) \tag{5.15}$$

near P_0. In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{|z|\ll 1} d\left(\frac{1}{2\pi}d\theta\right) f = \int_{|z|\ll 1}\left(\frac{1}{2\pi}d\theta\right)\wedge df \\ = \quad &\lim_{\epsilon\downarrow 0}\int_{\epsilon\leq |z|\ll 1}\left(\frac{1}{2\pi}d\theta\right)\wedge df = \lim_{\epsilon\downarrow 0}\int_{|z|=\epsilon}\frac{1}{2\pi}f(z)d\theta = f(P_0)\end{aligned}$$

for any C^∞ function f with a compact support near P_0. From (5.12), (5.13) and (5.15) the 1-current

$$\widetilde{\omega}_{(2)} := \omega_{(2)} - \frac{1}{2\pi}Id\theta$$

is of class C^∞ near P_0.

For the rest of this section we prove

Proposition 5.4. *Let $z = re^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}$ be a complex coordinate of C centered at P_0 as above. Then*

1. *$\omega_{(1)}$ is of class C^∞ near P_0.*
2. *$\widetilde{\omega}_{(2)}$ is of class C^∞ near P_0.*
3. *For $m \geq 3$ we have some polynomial $u_m(s) \in H^{\otimes n}\otimes\mathbb{C}[s]$ of degree $\leq \frac{1}{2}(m-1)$ such that*
$$\omega_{(m)} - *d\left(u_m(\log|z|)z + \overline{u_m(\log|z|)\overline{z}}\right)$$
is Hölder continuous of class $C^{0+\alpha}$ near P_0 for any $\alpha \in]0,1[$.
4. *For $m \geq 3$ we have some constant $C_m > 0$ such that*
$$\left|\omega_{(m)}\left(\frac{d}{dz}\right)\right| = \left|\omega_{(m)}\left(\frac{d}{d\overline{z}}\right)\right| \leq C_m\,|\log|z||^{[(m-1)/2]}$$
near P_0.

Proof. (1) is clear. (2) was already shown. To prove (3) and (4), we need the following, which is obtained by straightforward computation.

Lemma 5.5. *For any polynomial $c(s) \in \mathbb{C}[s]$ we have*

(1) $$*d(c(\log|z|)z) = -\sqrt{-1}\left(c(\log|z|) + \frac{1}{2}c'(\log|z|)\right)dz + \sqrt{-1}c'(\log|z|)\frac{z}{2\overline{z}}d\overline{z},$$

(2) $$d*d(c(\log|z|)z) = \sqrt{-1}\left(c'(\log|z|) + \frac{1}{2}c''(\log|z|)\right)dz\wedge\left(\frac{1}{\overline{z}}d\overline{z}\right),$$

(3) $$\left(\frac{1}{2\pi}d\theta\right)\wedge *d(c(\log|z|)z) = \frac{-1}{4\pi}c(\log|z|)dz\wedge\left(\frac{1}{\overline{z}}d\overline{z}\right).$$

Now we prove (3) and (4) by induction on $m \geq 3$. Our proof for $\omega_{(3)}$ and $\omega_{(4)}$ is slightly different from that for $\omega_{(m)}$ for $m \geq 5$. Here we should remark

$$\int_C \omega_{(q)} \wedge \omega_{(m-q)} = 0 \tag{5.16}$$

for any q, $1 \leq q \leq m-1$.

First we study $\omega_{(3)}$. The value of the real form $\omega_{(1)}$ at P_0, $\omega_{(1)}(P_0)$, is given by

$$\omega_{(1)}(P_0) = w_1(dz)_{P_0} + \overline{w_1}(d\overline{z})_{P_0}$$

for some $w_1 \in H \otimes \mathbb{C}$. From Lemma 5.5(2) we have

$$w_1 dz \wedge \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} d\theta\right) = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4\pi} w_1 dz \wedge \frac{d\overline{z}}{\overline{z}} = \frac{1}{4\pi} d * d(w_1 z \log|z|).$$

Hence, if we define

$$u_3(s) := \frac{s}{4\pi}(w_1 I - I w_1) \in H^{\otimes 3} \otimes \mathbb{C}[s],$$

then

$$\omega_{(1)} \wedge \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} I d\theta\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} I d\theta\right) \wedge \omega_{(1)} - d * d\left(u_3(\log|z|)z + \overline{u_3(\log|z|)\overline{z}}\right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\omega_{(1)} \wedge \omega_{(2)} + \omega_{(2)} \wedge \omega_{(1)} - d * d\left(u_3(\log|z|)z + \overline{u_3(\log|z|)\overline{z}}\right) \\ = \quad & d * d\left(\Phi(\omega \wedge \omega)_{(3)} - \left(u_3(\log|z|)z + \overline{u_3(\log|z|)\overline{z}}\right)\right) \end{aligned}$$

are bounded near P_0. From Corollary 5.3

$$\omega_{(3)} - *d\left(u_3(\log|z|)z + \overline{u_3(\log|z|)\overline{z}}\right)$$

is Hölder continuous of class $C^{0+\alpha}$ for any $\alpha \in]0,1[$. Clearly $\deg u_3(s) \leq 1$. From Lemma 5.5(1) we have some constant $\widehat{C_3} > 0$ such that

$$\left| *d(u_3(\log|z|)z)\left(\frac{d}{dz}\right)\right| \leq \widehat{C_3}|\log|z||$$

near P_0. This proves (3) and (4) for the case $m = 3$.

Next we consider the case $m = 4$. The 2-current

$$\omega_{(2)} \wedge \omega_{(2)} - \widetilde{\omega}_{(2)} \wedge \frac{1}{2\pi} I d\theta - \frac{1}{2\pi} I d\theta \wedge \widetilde{\omega}_{(2)}$$

is of class C^∞ near P_0. The value of $\widetilde{\omega}_{(2)}$ at P_0 is given by

$$\widetilde{\omega}_{(2)}(P_0) = w_2\,(dz)_{P_0} + \overline{w_2}\,(d\overline{z})_{P_0}$$

for some $w_2 \in H^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mathbb{C}$. By a similar argument to that for $\omega_{(3)}$, if we define

$$u_4(s) := \frac{s}{4\pi}\,(w_2 I - I w_2) \in H^{\otimes 4} \otimes \mathbb{C}[s],$$

then

$$\omega_{(2)} \wedge \omega_{(2)} - d * d\left(u_4(\log|z|)z + \overline{u_4(\log|z|)\overline{z}}\right)$$

is bounded near P_0. On the other hand, by the assertion (4) for $\omega_{(3)}$, the 2-current $\omega_{(1)}\wedge\omega_{(3)}+\omega_{(3)}\wedge\omega_{(1)}$ is L^p for any $p<+\infty$. By (5.15) $(\omega\wedge\omega)_{(4)}=d{*}d\Phi(\omega\wedge\omega)_{(4)}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}&(\omega\wedge\omega)_{(4)}-d*d\left(u_4(\log|z|)z+\overline{u_4(\log|z|)\overline{z}}\right)\\ =\ & d*d\left(\Phi(\omega\wedge\omega)_{(4)}-\left(u_4(\log|z|)z+\overline{u_4(\log|z|)\overline{z}}\right)\right)\end{aligned}$$

is L^p near P_0 for any $p<+\infty$. From Corollary 5.3

$$\omega_{(4)}-*d\left(u_4(\log|z|)z+\overline{u_4(\log|z|)\overline{z}}\right)$$

is Hölder continuous of class $C^{0+\alpha}$ for any $\alpha\in]0,1[$. Since $\deg u_4(s)\le 1$, we have some constant $C_4>0$ such that $\left|\omega_{(4)}\left(\frac{d}{dz}\right)\right|\le C_4|\log|z||$ near P_0, as was to be shown for the case $m=4$.

Finally we suppose $m\ge 5$. From the inductive assumption we have some $u_{m-2}(s)\in H^{\otimes(m-2)}\otimes\mathbb{C}[s]$ of degree $\le(m-3)/2$ such that

$$\widetilde{\omega}_{(m-2)}:=\omega_{(m-2)}-*d\left(u_{m-2}(\log|z|)z+\overline{u_{m-2}(\log|z|)\overline{z}}\right)$$

is Hölder continuous of class $C^{0+\alpha}$ for any $\alpha\in]0,1[$. If we choose a polynomial $\widehat{u}_{m-2}(s)$ satisfying

$$\widehat{u}'_{m-2}(s)+\frac{1}{2}\widehat{u}''_{m-2}(s)=\frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}}u_{m-2}(s),$$

then, from Lemma 5.5, we have

$$*d\left(u_{m-2}(\log|z|)z\right)\wedge\left(\frac{1}{2\pi}d\theta\right)=d*d\left(\widehat{u}_{m-2}(\log|z|)z\right)$$

The value of the real form $\widetilde{\omega}_{(m-2)}$ at P_0 is given by

$$\widetilde{\omega}_{(m-2)}(P_0)=w_{m-2}(dz)_{P_0}+\overline{w_{m-2}}(d\overline{z})_{P_0}$$

for some $w_{m-2}\in H^{\otimes m-2}\otimes\mathbb{C}$. From the Hölder continuity of $\widetilde{\omega}_{(m-2)}$

$$|z|^{-\alpha}\left(\widetilde{\omega}_{(m-2)}-w_{m-2}dz-\overline{w_{m-2}}d\overline{z}\right)$$

is bounded near P_0 for any $\alpha\in]0,1[$. Hence

$$\left(\widetilde{\omega}_{(m-2)}-w_{m-2}dz-\overline{w_{m-2}}d\overline{z}\right)\wedge\left(\frac{1}{2\pi}d\theta\right)$$

is L^p near P_0 for any $p<+\infty$. If we define

$$u_m(s):=(\frac{s}{4\pi}w_{m-2}+\widehat{u}_{m-2}(s))I-I(\frac{s}{4\pi}w_{m-2}+\widehat{u}_{m-2}(s)),$$

then

$$\omega_{(m-2)}\wedge\omega_{(2)}+\omega_{(2)}\wedge\omega_{(m-2)}-d*d\left(u_m(\log|z|)z+\overline{u_m(\log|z|)\overline{z}}\right)$$

is L^p near P_0 for any $p<+\infty$. From (5.16) and the inductive assumption for (4) the 2-current $\sum_{p\neq 2,m-2}\omega_{(p)}\wedge\omega_{(m-p)}=d{*}d\left(\sum_{p\neq 2,m-2}\omega_{(p)}\wedge\omega_{(m-p)}\right)$ is L^p near P_0 for any $p<+\infty$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}&(\omega\wedge\omega)_{(m)}-d*d\left(u_m(\log|z|)z+\overline{u_m(\log|z|)\overline{z}}\right)\\ =\ & d*d\left(\Phi(\omega\wedge\omega)_{(m)}-\left(u_m(\log|z|)z+\overline{u_m(\log|z|)\overline{z}}\right)\right)\end{aligned}$$

is also L^p near P_0 for any $p < +\infty$. From Corollary 5.3

$$\omega_{(m)} - *d\left(u_m(\log|z|)z + \overline{u_m(\log|z|)\overline{z}}\right)$$

is Hölder continuous of class $C^{0+\alpha}$ for any $\alpha \in]0,1[$. Since $\deg u_m(s) = \deg \widehat{u}_{m-2}(s) \leq \frac{1}{2}(m-1)$, we have some constant $C_m > 0$ such that

$$\left|\omega_{(m)}\left(\frac{d}{dz}\right)\right| \leq C_m \left|\log|z|\right|^{[(m-1)/2]}.$$

This completes the induction. □

6. The Harmonic Magnus Expansion

As in the previous sections let (C, P_0, v) be a triple of a compact Riemann surface C of genus $g \geq 1$, $P_0 \in C$ and $v \in T_{P_0}C \setminus \{0\}$. We define the map

$$\theta = \theta^{(C,P_0,v)} : \mathfrak{L}(C, P_0, v) \to \widehat{T}$$

by the improper iterated integral

$$\theta(\gamma) := 1 + \int_\gamma \omega + \int_\gamma \omega\omega + \int_\gamma \omega\omega\omega + \cdots = 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\int_\gamma \overbrace{\omega\omega\cdots\omega}^{m \text{ times}} \tag{6.1}$$

of the connection form ω constructed in §5 along a loop $\ell \in \mathfrak{L}(C, P_0, v)$.

We have to prove the improper integral converges. For any $\ell \in \mathfrak{L}(C, P_0, v)$ and 1-forms $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_m$ on $C \setminus \{P_0\}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\int_\ell \varphi_1 \cdots \varphi_m\right| &\leq \int_{1>t_1\geq\cdots\geq t_m>0} |\ell^*\varphi_1| \times \cdots \times |\ell^*\varphi_m| \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^1 |\ell^*\varphi_1|\right)\cdots\left(\int_0^1 |\ell^*\varphi_m|\right). \end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

Hence it suffices to show the following. As in the previous section we fix a complex coordinates z of C centered at P_0.

Lemma 6.1. *The 1-form $\ell^*\omega_{(m)}$ is integrable on the interval $[0,1]$*

$$\int_0^1 |\ell^*\omega_{(m)}| < +\infty$$

for any $\ell \in \mathfrak{L}(C, P_0, v)$ and $m \geq 1$.

Proof. It is clear for the case $m = 1$. To study $\ell^*\omega_{(2)}$ we expand $x(t) = \Re z(\ell(t))$ and $y(t) = \Im z(\ell(t))$ in Taylor polynomials

$$x(t) = x_1 t + x_2 t^2 + o(t^2)$$
$$y(t) = y_1 t + y_2 t^2 + o(t^2).$$

Then ${x_1}^2 + {y_1}^2 \neq 0$ because $(d\ell/dt)(0) = v \neq 0$. Substituting them into $d\theta = (xdy - ydx)/(x^2+y^2)$, we have

$$\ell^* d\theta = \frac{x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1 + o(1)}{{x_1}^2 + {y_1}^2 + o(1)} dt.$$

This means $\ell^*d\theta$ is contiuous on $[0,1[$. Similarly we find $\ell^*d\theta$ is contiuous on $]0,1]$. Since $\widetilde{\omega}_{(2)} = \omega_{(2)} - \frac{1}{2\pi} I d\theta$ is of class C^∞, $\ell^*\omega_{(2)}$ is continuous and integrable on the interval $[0,1]$.

Now we study the case $m \geq 3$. From Proposition 5.4 (4) we have some constant $C'_m > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left|(\ell^*\omega_{(m)})\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)\right| &\leq C'_m|\log t|^{[(m-1)/2]} \quad \text{near } t=0, \text{ and} \\ &\leq C'_m|\log(1-t)|^{[(m-1)/2]} \quad \text{near } t=1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\int_0^1 |\log t|^{[(m-1)/2]}dt < +\infty$, the 1-form $\ell^*\omega_{(m)}$ is integrable, as was to be shown. □

Next we prove the homotopy invariance of $\theta(\ell)$.

Lemma 6.2. *If ℓ_0 and $\ell_1 \in \mathfrak{L}(C, P_0, v)$ are homotopic to each other in the sense of §4, then we have $\theta(\ell_0) = \theta(\ell_1) \in \widehat{T}$.*

Proof. Let a piecewise C^∞ map $L : [0,1]\times[0,1] \to C$ be a homotopy connecting ℓ_0 and ℓ_1 as in (4.2). For any $t \in [0,1]$ we denote $k_t(s) := L(t,s)$, $s \in [0,1]$. It suffices to show

$$\lim_{t\downarrow 0}\left|\int_{k_t}|\omega_{(m)}|\right| = \lim_{t\uparrow 1}\left|\int_{k_t}|\omega_{(m)}|\right| = 0 \tag{6.3}$$

for any $m \geq 1$. In fact, the connection form ω satisfies the integrability condition $d\omega = \omega\wedge\omega$ on $C\setminus\{P_0\}$ from (5.12). Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(1+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\int_{\epsilon}^{1-\epsilon}\overbrace{({\ell_1}^*\omega)\cdots({\ell_1}^*\omega)}^{m}\right)\left(1+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\int_{k_\epsilon}\overbrace{\omega\cdots\omega}^{m}\right) \\ &= \left(1+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\int_{k_{1-\epsilon}}\overbrace{\omega\cdots\omega}^{m}\right)\left(1+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\int_{\epsilon}^{1-\epsilon}\overbrace{({\ell_0}^*\omega)\cdots({\ell_0}^*\omega)}^{m}\right). \end{aligned}$$

From (6.3), (6.2) and Lemma 6.1 we have $\theta(\ell_1)\cdot 1 = 1\cdot\theta(\ell_0)$ as $\epsilon \downarrow 0$.

Now we will prove $\lim_{t\downarrow 0}\left|\int_{k_t}|\omega_{(m)}|\right| = 0$. One can prove $\lim_{t\uparrow 1}\left|\int_{k_t}|\omega_{(m)}|\right| = 0$ in a similar way. The 1-forms $L^*\omega_{(1)}$ and $L^*\widetilde{\omega}_{(2)}$ are C^∞ on $[0,1]\times[0,1]$ and the path k_0 is constant. Hence $\lim_{t\downarrow 0}\left|\int_{k_t}|\omega_{(1)}|\right| = \lim_{t\downarrow 0}\left|\int_{k_t}|\widetilde{\omega}_{(2)}|\right| = 0$.

From the second condition of (4.2) there exists a C^∞ function $\widehat{L}(t,s)$ satisfying $(z\circ L)(t,s) = t\widehat{L}(t,s)$ near $t = 0$. By the third condition $\widehat{L}(0,s)$ is a nonzero constant. If we define $\widehat{k}_t : [0,1] \to \mathbb{C}$ by $\widehat{k}_t(s) := \widehat{L}(t,s)$, then ${k_t}^*(d\theta) = \widehat{k}_t^*(d\theta)$ is of class C^∞ on $[0,1]\times[0,1]$ and the path $\widehat{k}_0$ is constant. Hence $\lim_{t\downarrow 0}\left|\int_{k_t}|d\theta|\right| = \lim_{t\downarrow 0}\left|\int_{k_t}|\omega_{(2)}|\right| = 0$.

Consider the case $m \geq 3$. Clearly we have $(\partial(z\circ L)/\partial s)(t,s) = t(\partial\widehat{L}/\partial s)(t,s)$. From Proposition 5.4 (4) we have some constant $C''_m > 0$ such that

$$\left|({k_t}^*\omega_{(m)})\left(\frac{d}{ds}\right)\right| \leq C''_m t|\log t|^{[(m-1)/2]}$$

for any $(t,s) \in [0,1]\times[0,1]$. This implies $\lim_{t\downarrow 0}\left|\int_{k_t}|\omega_{(m)}|\right| = 0$, as was to be shown. □ □

Consequently the iterated integral (6.1) defines a group homomorphism

$$\theta = \theta^{(C,P_0,v)} : \pi_1(C, P_0, v) \to 1 + \widehat{T}_1, \quad [\ell] \mapsto \theta(\ell),$$

which is a Magnus expansion of the free group $\pi_1(C, P_0, v)$ because of (5.8). We call it **the harmonic Magnus expansion** of the triple (C, P_0, v).

We conclude this section by studying how the harmonic Magnus expansion $\theta^{(C,P_0,v)}$ depends on the choice of the vector v.

Proposition 6.3. *Let $\ell : [0,1] \to C$ be a piecewise C^∞ path satisfying the conditions*

$$\begin{aligned}&\ell(]0,1[) \subset \{0 < |z| \ll 1\},\\ &\ell(0) = \ell(1) = P_0,\\ &\frac{d\ell}{dt}(0) \neq 0, \quad \textit{and} \quad \frac{d\ell}{dt}(1) \neq 0.\end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$(\theta(\ell) =)\, 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \int_\ell \overbrace{\omega\omega\cdots\omega}^{m} = \exp\left(\left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_\ell d\arg z\right) I\right) \in \widehat{T}.$$

In particular, the word w_0 given by a negative loop arround P_0 has its value

$$\theta(w_0) = \exp(-I) \in \widehat{T}.$$

Proof. For any $\rho \in]0, \frac{1}{2}[$ there exist some a_ρ and $b_\rho \in \mathbb{C}$ such that the path $t \in [\rho, 1-\rho] \mapsto z^{-1}(e^{a_\rho t + b_\rho}) \in C$ is homotopic to the restriction $\ell|_{[\rho,1-\rho]}$ relative to the endpoints $\{\rho, 1-\rho\}$. We define a piecewise C^∞ path $\ell_\rho : [0,1] \to C$ by

$$\ell_\rho := \begin{cases} \ell, & \text{on } [0,\rho] \cup [1-\rho, 1],\\ z^{-1}(e^{a_\rho t + b_\rho}), & \text{on } [\rho, 1-\rho].\end{cases}$$

From the homotopy invariance of $\theta^{(C,P_0,v)}$ we have $\theta(\ell) = \theta(\ell_\rho) = \lim_{\rho\downarrow 0}\theta(\ell_\rho)$. Clearly we have $\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\ell_\rho} d\arg z = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_\ell d\arg z$, which we denote by s_0.

Since $\ell^*\omega_{(m)}$ is integrable on $[0,1]$, we have $\lim_{\rho\downarrow 0}\left(\int_0^\rho + \int_{1-\rho}^1\right)|\ell^*\omega_{(m)}| = 0$. Moreover we have some constants $C_1 > C_0 > 0$ such that $C_0\rho \leq |z(\ell_\rho(t))| \leq C_1\rho$ for any $t \in [\rho, 1-\rho]$. If $m \geq 3$, then we have some constant $C'''_m > 0$ such that

$$\int_\rho^{1-\rho} |{\ell_\rho}^*\omega_{(m)}| \leq C'''_m \rho |\log\rho|^{[(m-1)/2]}$$

from Proposition 5.4 (4). Hence we have

$$\lim_{\rho\downarrow 0}\int_0^1 |{\ell_\rho}^*\omega_{(m)}| = 0$$

for any $m \geq 3$. Clearly we have

$$\lim_{\rho\downarrow 0}\left|\int_0^1 |{\ell_\rho}^*\omega_{(1)}|\right| = \lim_{\rho\downarrow 0}\left|\int_0^1 |{\ell_\rho}^*\widetilde{\omega}_{(2)}|\right| = 0.$$

Consequently, from (6.2), we obtain

$$\lim_{\rho\downarrow 0}\theta(\ell_\rho) = \lim_{\rho\downarrow 0}\sum_{\substack{m\geq 0\\ m:\ \text{even}}}\int_{\ell_\rho}\overbrace{\left(\frac{1}{2\pi}I d\arg z\right)\cdots\left(\frac{1}{2\pi}I d\arg z\right)}^{m/2\ \text{times}}$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{m\geq 0\\ m:\ \text{even}}} I^{\otimes(m/2)}\int_0^{s_0}\overbrace{(dt)\cdots(dt)}^{m/2\ \text{times}} = \sum_{\substack{m\geq 0\\ m:\ \text{even}}}\frac{1}{(m/2)!}{s_0}^{m/2}I^{\otimes(m/2)} = \exp(s_0 I),$$

as was to be shown. □

7. Quasiconformal Variation of Harmonic Magnus Expansions

Let (C, P_0, v) be a triple of genus $g \geq 1$, and z a complex coordinate of C centered at P_0 as in the previous sections. Moreover let $(C_t, {P_0}^t, v_t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $|t| \ll 1$, be a C^∞ family of triples of genus g satisfying $(C_t, {P_0}^t, v_t)\big|_{t=0} = (C, P_0, v)$. Then the family $(C_t, {P_0}^t, v_t)$ is trivial as a C^∞ fiber bundle over an interval near $t = 0$. Hence we have a C^∞ family of C^∞ diffeomorphisms

$$f^t : (C, P_0, v) \to (C_t, {P_0}^t, v_t)$$

satisfying $f^0 = 1_{(C,P_0,v)}$. We may assume $(df^t)_{P_0} : (T_\mathbb{R}C)_{P_0} \to (T_\mathbb{R}C_t)_{P_0^t}$ preserves the almost complex structures on the tangent spaces at the points P_0 and P_0^t for any t. This means

$$f^t{}_{\overline{z}}(P_0) = 0 \tag{7.1}$$

for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $|t| \ll 1$.

In this section we compute the first quasiconformal variation

$$\dot{\theta} := \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}\theta_t : \pi_1(C, P_0, v) \to \widehat{T}$$

of the C^∞ family of Magnus expansions

$$\theta_t := (f^t)^*\left(\theta^{(C_t, P_0^t, v_t)}\right) : \pi_1(C, P_0, v) \to \widehat{T}.$$

In general, if $\bigcirc = \bigcirc_t$ is a "function" in $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $|t| \ll 1$, then we write simply

$$\dot{\bigcirc} := \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}\bigcirc_t\ .$$

For example, we denote

$$\dot{\mu} := \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}\mu(f^t).$$

Here $\mu(f^t)$ is the complex dilatation of the diffeomorphism f^t. Let z_1 be a complex coordinate of C, and ζ_1 of C_t. The complex dilatation $\mu(f^t)$ is defined locally by

$$\mu(f^t) = \mu(f^t)(z_1)\frac{d}{dz_1}\otimes d\overline{z_1} = \frac{(\zeta_1\circ f^t)_{\overline{z_1}}}{(\zeta_1\circ f^t)_{z_1}}\frac{d}{dz_1}\otimes d\overline{z_1},$$

which does not depend on the choice of the coordinates z_1 and ζ_1. From (7.1) we have

$$\dot{\mu}(P_0) = 0. \tag{7.2}$$

To state our result we introduce linear maps N and $\check{N} : \widehat{T}_1 \to \widehat{T}_1$ by

$$N|_{H^{\otimes m}} := 1 + \varepsilon + \varepsilon^2 + \cdots + \varepsilon^{m-1} = \sum\nolimits_{k=0}^{m-1}\varepsilon^k, \quad \text{and} \quad \check{N}|_{H^{\otimes m}} := \frac{1}{m}N,$$

respectively.

Applying the map N to the $\widehat{T}_2$-part of the square $\omega'\omega' \in C^\infty(C\backslash\{P_0\}; (T^*C)^{\otimes 2})\widehat{\otimes}\widehat{T}_2$ of the $(1,0)$-part of the connection form ω, we obtain a covariant tensor $N(\omega'\omega') \in C^\infty(C \setminus \{P_0\}; (T^*C)^{\otimes 2})\widehat{\otimes}\widehat{T}_2$.

Lemma 7.1. *The covariant tensor $N(\omega'\omega')$ is holomorphic on $C\backslash\{P_0\}$. Except for the case $m = 4$ $N(\omega'\omega')_{(m)}$ has a pole of order ≤ 1 at the point P_0, while $N(\omega'\omega')_{(4)}$ a pole of order ≤ 2 at P_0.*

Proof. On the complement $C \setminus \{P_0\}$ we have $d\omega = \omega \wedge \omega$, i.e.,

$$\overline{\partial}\omega'_{(m)} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\omega' \wedge \omega'' + \omega'' \wedge \omega'\right)_{(m)}.$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned}
&2\overline{\partial}N(\omega'\omega')_{(m)} = 2\overline{\partial}N \sum_{p+q=m} \omega'_{(p)}\omega'_{(q)}\\
= \;& N \sum_{p_1+p_2+q=m} \left(\omega'_{(p_1)} \wedge \omega''_{(p_2)}\right)\omega'_{(q)} + \left(\omega''_{(p_1)} \wedge \omega'_{(p_2)}\right)\omega'_{(q)}\\
&+N \sum_{p+q_1+q_2=m} \omega'_{(p)}\left(\omega'_{(q_1)} \wedge \omega''_{(q_2)}\right) + \omega'_{(p)}\left(\omega''_{(q_1)} \wedge \omega'_{(q_2)}\right)\\
= \;& N \sum_{p_1+p_2+q=m} \varepsilon^{-q}\left(\omega'_{(q)}\omega'_{(p_1)} \otimes \omega''_{(p_2)}\right) - \varepsilon^{p_1}\left(\omega'_{(p_2)}\omega'_{(q)} \otimes \omega''_{(p_1)}\right)\\
&+N \sum_{p+q_1+q_2=m} \omega'_{(p)}\omega'_{(q_1)} \otimes \omega''_{(q_2)} - \varepsilon^{-q_2}\left(\omega'_{(q_2)}\omega'_{(p)} \otimes \omega''_{(q_1)}\right)\\
= \;& N \sum_{a+b+c=m} \left(\varepsilon^{-a} - \varepsilon^{c} + 1 - \varepsilon^{-a}\right)\omega'_{(a)}\omega'_{(b)} \otimes \omega''_{(c)} = 0
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $N(\omega'\omega')$ is holomorphic on $C \setminus \{P_0\}$.

If $m \neq 4$, then, from Proposition 5.4, we have $\lim_{z\to 0}|z|^2 N(\omega'\omega')_{(m)} = 0$, and $\lim_{z\to 0}|z|^{2+\epsilon}N(\omega'\omega')_{(4)} = 0$ for any $\epsilon > 0$, which implies the second half of the asserion. This proves the lemma. □

Our result is

Theorem 7.2.

$$\dot{\theta}(\gamma) = int\left(\int_C 2\Re\left(\left(N(\omega'\omega') - 2\omega'_{(1)}\omega'_{(1)}\right)\dot{\mu}\right)\right)\theta(\gamma) \in \widehat{T}$$

for any $\gamma \in \pi_1(C, P_0, v)$.

Here we regard $N(\omega'\omega')\dot{\mu}$ as an integrable 2-current on C by (7.2) and Lemma 7.1. The second homogeneous term of $N(\omega'\omega')$ is $2\omega'{}_{(1)}\omega'{}_{(1)}$, which coincides with the first quasiconformal variation of the period matrix of Riemann surfaces given by Rauch's variational formula [25].

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of the theorem. We begin our computation by recalling the first variation of the Hodge $*$-operators. We write locally

$$\dot{\mu} = \dot{\mu}(z_1)\frac{d}{dz_1} \otimes d\overline{z_1}$$

in a complex coodinate z_1 of C.

Lemma 7.3.

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} (f^t)^* *_{C_t} ((f^t)^{-1})^* dz_1 = -2\sqrt{-1}\dot{\mu}(z_1) d\overline{z_1}$$

Proof. Let ζ_1^t be a complex coordinates of C_t which is of class C^∞ in the variable t, and satisfies $\zeta_1^0 = z_1$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (f^t)^* *_{C_t} ((f^t)^{-1})^* dz_1 - *dz_1 \\ = \quad & (f^t)^*(*_{C_t} + \sqrt{-1})((f^t)^{-1})^* dz_1 \\ = \quad & (f^t)^*(*_{C_t} + \sqrt{-1}) \left((z_1 \circ (f^t)^{-1})_{\zeta_1^t} d\zeta_1^t + (z_1 \circ (f^t)^{-1})_{\overline{\zeta_1^t}} d\overline{\zeta_1^t} \right) \\ = \quad & 2\sqrt{-1}(f^t)^* \left((z_1 \circ (f^t)^{-1})_{\overline{\zeta_1^t}} d\overline{\zeta_1^t} \right) \\ = \quad & 2\sqrt{-1} \left((z_1 \circ (f^t)^{-1})_{\overline{\zeta_1^t}} \circ f^t \right) \left((\overline{\zeta_1^t \circ f^t})_{z_1} dz_1 + (\overline{\zeta_1^t \circ f^t})_{\overline{z_1}} d\overline{z_1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lim_{t\to 0}(z_1 \circ (f^t)^{-1})_{\overline{\zeta_1^t}} = \lim_{t\to 0}(\overline{\zeta_1^t \circ f^t})_{z_1} = 0$, we obtain

$$\lim_{t\to 0} \frac{1}{t} \left((f^t)^* *_{C_t} ((f^t)^{-1})^* dz_1 - *dz_1 \right) = 2\sqrt{-1} \left(\lim_{t\to 0} \frac{1}{t} \left((z_1 \circ (f^t)^{-1})_{\overline{\zeta_1^t}} \circ f^t \right) \right) d\overline{z_1}.$$

Hence it suffices to show

$$\lim_{t\to 0} \frac{1}{t} \left((z_1 \circ (f^t)^{-1})_{\overline{\zeta_1^t}} \circ f^t \right) = -\dot{\mu}(z_1).$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \quad = \quad & (z_1)_{\overline{z_1}} = (z_1 \circ (f^t)^{-1} \circ f^t)_{\overline{z_1}} \\ = \quad & \left((z_1 \circ (f^t)^{-1})_{\zeta_1^t} \circ f^t \right) (\zeta_1^t \circ f^t)_{\overline{z_1}} + \left((z_1 \circ (f^t)^{-1})_{\overline{\zeta_1^t}} \circ f^t \right) (\overline{\zeta_1^t \circ f^t})_{\overline{z_1}}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{t\to 0} \frac{1}{t} \left((z_1 \circ (f^t)^{-1})_{\overline{\zeta_1^t}} \circ f^t \right) = \lim_{t\to 0} \frac{1}{t} \left((z_1 \circ (f^t)^{-1})_{\overline{\zeta_1^t}} \circ f^t \right) (\overline{\zeta_1^t \circ f^t})_{\overline{z_1}} \\ = \quad & -\lim_{t\to 0} \frac{1}{t} \left((z_1 \circ (f^t)^{-1})_{\zeta_1^t} \circ f^t \right) (\zeta_1^t \circ f^t)_{\overline{z_1}} = -\lim_{t\to 0} \frac{1}{t} (\zeta_1^t \circ f^t)_{\overline{z_1}} \\ = \quad & -\lim_{t\to 0} \frac{1}{t} \mu(f^t)(z_1)(\zeta_1^t \circ f^t)_{z_1} = -\dot{\mu}(z_1), \end{aligned}$$

as was to be shown. □

We define a linear operator $S = S[\dot{\mu}] : A^1(C) \to A^1(C)$ by

$$S(\varphi) = S(\varphi') + S(\varphi'') := -2\varphi'\dot{\mu} - 2\varphi''\overline{\dot{\mu}},$$

for $\varphi = \varphi' + \varphi''$, $\varphi' \in A^{1,0}(C)$, $\varphi'' \in A^{0,1}(C)$. Here, if $\varphi' = \varphi'(z_1)dz_1$ and $\varphi'' = \varphi''(z_1)d\overline{z_1}$ locally in a complex coordinate z_1 of C, then we have locally

$$-2\varphi'\dot{\mu} = -2\varphi'(z_1)\dot{\mu}(z_1)d\overline{z_1}, \quad \text{and} \quad -2\varphi''\overline{\dot{\mu}} = -2\varphi''(z_1)\overline{\dot{\mu}(z_1)}dz_1.$$

Clearly $S(A^{1,0}(C)) \subset A^{0,1}(C)$, $S(A^{0,1}(C)) \subset A^{1,0}(C)$, and S is a real operator, i.e., $\overline{S} = S$. From Lemma 7.3 we have

$$\dot{*} = *S = -S* : A^1(C) \to A^1(C). \tag{7.3}$$

It is easy to show

$$(S\varphi) \wedge \psi = -\varphi \wedge (S\psi) \tag{7.4}$$

for any φ and $\psi \in A^1(C)$. From (7.2) $\omega'\dot{\mu}$ and $\omega''\overline{\dot{\mu}}$ are bounded near the point P_0. Hence we have

$$S\omega \in E^1(C)\widehat{\otimes}\widehat{T},$$

where $E^1(C)$ is the function space introduced in (5.4).

To describe the first variation of the connection form ω we introduce a linear map $U : E^1(C)\widehat{\otimes}\widehat{T} \to A^1(C)\widehat{\otimes}\widehat{T}$ by

$$U\varphi := [\omega, \Phi d * \varphi] = \omega(\Phi d * \varphi) - (\Phi d * \varphi)\omega$$

for $\varphi \in E^1(C)\widehat{\otimes}\widehat{T}$. This U appears only in this section, and is completely different from the $Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{R})$-module $\Lambda^3 H/H$ in §8.

Lemma 7.4. *For any* $\varphi \in E^1(C)\widehat{\otimes}\widehat{T}$ *we have*

$$U\varphi \in E^1(C)\widehat{\otimes}\widehat{T} = \left(\bigcap\nolimits_{2<p<\infty} L^p\left(C; (T^*_{\mathbb{R}}C)\otimes\mathbb{C}\right)\right)\widehat{\otimes}\widehat{T}, \tag{1}$$

$$d(U\varphi) = (U\varphi - d\Phi d * \varphi)\wedge\omega + \omega\wedge(U\varphi - d\Phi d * \varphi). \tag{2}$$

Proof. (1) From Theorem 5.1 we have $\Phi d * \varphi \in E^0_0(C, P_0)$. Clearly $U\varphi \in E^1(C - \{P_0\})\widehat{\otimes}\widehat{T}$. It suffices to show that $\Phi d * \varphi$ is L^p near P_0 for any $p \in]2, \infty[$.

Choose $p' \in]p, \infty[$. Then $|z|^{-1+2/p'}|\Phi d * \varphi|$ and $|z||\omega|$ are bounded near P_0. Now we have

$$\int_{|z|\le 1}\left(|z|^{-2/p'}\right)^p |dz \wedge d\overline{z}| = 4\pi\int_0^1 r^{1-2p/p'}dr = 4\pi\left[r^{2-2p/p'}\right]_{r=0}^{r=1} < +\infty.$$

Hence $\omega(\Phi d * \varphi)$ and $(\Phi d * \varphi)\omega$ are L^p near P_0, and so is $U\varphi$, as was to be shown.

(2) From $(\Phi d * \varphi)(P_0) = 0$ we have $(\Phi d * \varphi)\delta_0 = 0 \in A^2(C)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} d(U\varphi) &= \omega\wedge\omega(\Phi d * \varphi) - \omega\wedge(d\Phi d * \varphi) - (d\Phi d * \varphi)\wedge\omega - (\Phi d * \varphi)\omega\wedge\omega \\ &= (U\varphi)\wedge\omega + \omega\wedge(U\varphi) - \omega\wedge(d\Phi d * \varphi) - (d\Phi d * \varphi)\wedge\omega \\ &= (U\varphi - d\Phi d * \varphi)\wedge\omega + \omega\wedge(U\varphi - d\Phi d * \varphi). \end{aligned}$$

□

Since $U\left(E^1(C)\widehat{\otimes}\widehat{T}_p\right) \subset E^1(C)\widehat{\otimes}\widehat{T}_{p+1}$ for any $p \geq 0$, we may consider the operator

$$(1-U)^{-1} : E^1(C)\widehat{\otimes}\widehat{T} \to E^1(C)\widehat{\otimes}\widehat{T}$$

and so the tensor $(1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega) \in E^1(C)\widehat{\otimes}\widehat{T}$.

Lemma 7.5.

$$(1-\varepsilon)\int_C (1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega)\wedge\omega_{(1)} = 0.$$

Proof. From (7.4) we have $\int_C (S\omega) \wedge \omega + \int_C \omega \wedge (S\omega) = 0$. Using Lemma 7.4 (2) for $\varphi = (1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega)$ one computes

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \int_C d(U(1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega)) \\
&= \int_C (U(1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega) - d\Phi d*(1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega)) \wedge \omega \\
&\quad + \int_C \omega \wedge (U(1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega) - d\Phi d*(1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega)) \\
&= \int_C (S\omega + (1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega) - d\Phi d*(1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega)) \wedge \omega \\
&\quad + \int_C \omega \wedge (S\omega + (1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega) - d\Phi d*(1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega)) \\
&= \int_C (\mathcal{H} + *d\Phi d)(1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega) \wedge \omega + \int_C \omega \wedge (\mathcal{H} + *d\Phi d)(1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega) \\
&= \int_C (1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega) \wedge (\mathcal{H} + d\Phi d*)\omega + \int_C (\mathcal{H} + d\Phi d*)\omega \wedge (1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega) \\
&= \int_C (1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega) \wedge \omega_{(1)} + \int_C \omega_{(1)} \wedge (1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega) \\
&= (1-\varepsilon) \int_C (1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega) \wedge \omega_{(1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

We define $W \in E^1(C)\widehat{\otimes}\widehat{T}$ by

$$W := (1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega) + S\omega_{(1)} = (1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega) - ((1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega))_{(1)}.$$

From Lemma 7.5 and (4.5) we have

$$int\left(\int_C W \wedge \omega_{(1)}\right) I = 0. \tag{7.5}$$

Now we can compute the first variation of the connection form ω.

Theorem 7.6.

$$\dot{\omega} = -int\left(\int_C W \wedge \omega_{(1)}\right)\omega - U(1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega) + d\Phi d*(1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega).$$

First we compute the d-exact and the harmonic parts of the variation $\dot{\omega}$.

Lemma 7.7.

(1) $$d\Phi d * \dot{\omega} = d\Phi d * (-S\omega),$$

(2) $$\mathcal{H}\dot{\omega} = -\mathcal{H}S(\omega - \omega_{(1)}).$$

Proof. (1) Differentiating $d * \omega = 0$, we have $0 = d\dot{*}\omega + d * \dot{\omega} = d * S\omega + d * \dot{\omega}$. Hence $d\Phi d * \dot{\omega} = d\Phi d * (-S\omega)$, as was to be shown.

(2) Consider the pullback $\omega^t_{(1)} := (f^t)^* \left({\omega_{(1)}}^{C_t}\right)$ of the harmonic form ${\omega_{(1)}}^{C_t}$ on C_t. The difference $\omega^t_{(1)} - \omega_{(1)}$ is d-exact, which implies $\omega^t_{(1)} - \omega_{(1)} = d\Phi d * (\omega^t_{(1)} -$

$\omega_{(1)}) = d\Phi d * (\omega^t_{(1)})$. Hence, from (1), we obtain

$$\dot{\omega}_{(1)} = d\Phi d * \dot{\omega}_{(1)} = d\Phi d * (-S\omega_{(1)}). \tag{7.6}$$

Differentiating $I = \int_C \omega \wedge \omega_{(1)}$, we obtain $0 = \int_C \dot{\omega} \wedge \omega_{(1)} + \int_C \omega \wedge \dot{\omega}_{(1)}$. Hence, by (7.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}\dot{\omega} &= -\left(\int_C \dot{\omega} \wedge \omega_{(1)}\right) \cdot \omega_{(1)} = \left(\int_C \omega \wedge \dot{\omega}_{(1)}\right) \cdot \omega_{(1)} \\ &= \left(\int_C \omega \wedge d\Phi d * (-S\omega_{(1)})\right) \cdot \omega_{(1)} = \left(\int_C (\omega - \omega_{(1)}) \wedge (-S\omega_{(1)})\right) \cdot \omega_{(1)} \\ &= \left(\int_C S(\omega - \omega_{(1)}) \wedge \omega_{(1)}\right) \cdot \omega_{(1)} = -\mathcal{H}S(\omega - \omega_{(1)}).\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Proof of Theorem 7.6. We study a 1-form $\Theta \in A^1(C)\widehat{\otimes}\widehat{T}$ defined by

$$\Theta := \dot{\omega} + int\left(\int_C W \wedge \omega_{(1)}\right)\omega + U(1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega)$$

instead of $\dot{\omega}$ itself. Then we have

$$\mathcal{H}\Theta = 0, \tag{7.7}$$

$$d\Phi d * \Theta = d\Phi d * (1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega). \tag{7.8}$$

In fact, from Lemma 7.7(2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}\Theta &= -\mathcal{H}S(\omega - \omega_{(1)}) + int\left(\int_C W \wedge \omega_{(1)}\right)\omega_{(1)} + \mathcal{H}\left(U(1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega)\right) \\ &= \mathcal{H}(S\omega_{(1)}) - \mathcal{H}W + \mathcal{H}\left((1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega)\right) = \mathcal{H}(W - W) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, from Lemma 7.7 (1), we have $d\Phi d * \Theta = d\Phi d * (-S\omega) + d\Phi d * U(1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega) = d\Phi d * (1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega)$, as was to be shown.

Now, from (7.5), we have $int\left(\int_C W \wedge \omega_{(1)}\right) d\omega = \left(int\left(\int_C W \wedge \omega_{(1)}\right)\omega\right) \wedge \omega + \omega \wedge \left(int\left(\int_C W \wedge \omega_{(1)}\right)\omega\right)$. Since $f^t(P_0) = P_0^t$, we have $d\dot{\omega} = \dot{\omega} \wedge \omega + \omega \wedge \dot{\omega}$. Hence, by Lemma 7.4 (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&d\Theta = d\left(\dot{\omega} + int\left(\int_C W \wedge \omega_{(1)}\right)\omega + U(1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega)\right) \\ =\ &\dot{\omega} \wedge \omega + \omega \wedge \dot{\omega} + \left(int\left(\int_C W \wedge \omega_{(1)}\right)\omega\right) \wedge \omega + \omega \wedge \left(int\left(\int_C W \wedge \omega_{(1)}\right)\omega\right) \\ &+ \left(U(1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega) - d\Phi d * (1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega)\right) \wedge \omega \\ &+ \omega \wedge \left(U(1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega) - d\Phi d * (1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega)\right) \\ =\ &\left(\Theta - d\Phi d * (1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega)\right) \wedge \omega + \omega \wedge \left(\Theta - d\Phi d * (1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega)\right).\end{aligned}$$

From (7.7) and (7.8) follows $\Theta - d\Phi d * (1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega) = *d\Phi d\Theta$. Hence we obtain

$$d\Theta = (*d\Phi d\Theta) \wedge \omega + \omega \wedge (*d\Phi d\Theta).$$

This proves $(d\Theta)_{(m)} = 0$ inductively on $m \geq 1$, since $(d\Theta)_{(0)} = 0$. Consequently we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \dot{\omega} + int\left(\int_C W \wedge \omega_{(1)}\right)\omega + U(1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega) \\ = \quad & \Theta = d\Phi d * \Theta = d\Phi d * (1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

The theorem, together with the formulae (1.5), implies

Proposition 7.8.

$$\dot{\theta}(\gamma) = -int\left(\int_C W \wedge \omega_{(1)}\right)\theta(\gamma)$$

for any $\gamma \in \pi_1(C, P_0, v)$.

Proof. Since $\Phi d * (1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega)(P_0) = 0$, the variation

$$\left(\int_\gamma \overbrace{\omega\omega\cdots\omega}^{m}\right)^{\bullet} = \sum_{i=1}^{m}\int_\gamma \omega\cdots\overset{\overset{i}{\smile}}{\dot{\omega}}\cdots\omega$$

is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & -\sum_{i=1}^{m}\int_\gamma \omega\cdots\left(int\left(\int_C W \wedge \omega_{(1)}\right)\omega\right)\cdots\omega \\ & -\sum_{i=1}^{m}\int_\gamma \omega\cdots U(1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega)\cdots\omega \\ & +\sum_{i=1}^{m}\int_\gamma \omega\cdots d\Phi d * (1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega)\cdots\omega \\ = \quad & -int\left(\int_C W \wedge \omega_{(1)}\right)\left(\int_\gamma \overbrace{\omega\omega\cdots\omega}^{m}\right) - \sum_{i=1}^{m}\int_\gamma \omega\cdots U(1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega)\cdots\omega \\ & +\sum_{i=2}^{m}\int_\gamma \overbrace{\omega\cdots\omega}^{i-2}\big(\omega\Phi d * (1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega)\big)\overbrace{\omega\cdots\omega}^{m-i} \\ & -\sum_{i=1}^{m-1}\int_\gamma \overbrace{\omega\cdots\omega}^{i-1}\big(\Phi d * (1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega)\omega\big)\overbrace{\omega\cdots\omega}^{m-i-1} \\ = \quad & -int\left(\int_C W \wedge \omega_{(1)}\right)\left(\int_\gamma \overbrace{\omega\omega\cdots\omega}^{m}\right) - \sum_{i=1}^{m}\int_\gamma \omega\cdots U(1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega)\cdots\omega \\ & +\sum_{i=1}^{m-1}\int_\gamma \overbrace{\omega\cdots\omega}^{i-1}\big(U(1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega)\big)\overbrace{\omega\cdots\omega}^{m-i-1}, \end{aligned}$$

from the formulae (1.5). Consequently we obtain

$$\dot{\theta}(\gamma) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(\int_\gamma \overbrace{\omega\omega\cdots\omega}^{m}\right)^{\bullet} = -int\left(\int_C R \wedge \omega_{(1)}\right)\theta(\gamma).$$

This proves the proposition. $\square$

In order to identify the integral $\int_C W \wedge \omega_{(1)}$ we introduce some additional notations. We define the 1-current $\widehat{\omega} \in A^1(C)\widehat{\otimes}\widehat{T}$ by

$$\widehat{\omega}_{(m)} := m\omega_{(m)},$$

and the operator $V : A^1(C)\widehat{\otimes}\widehat{T} \to A^1(C)\widehat{\otimes}\widehat{T}$ by

$$V(\varphi) := *d\Phi(\omega \wedge \varphi + \varphi \wedge \omega)$$

for $\varphi \in A^1(C)\widehat{\otimes}\widehat{T}$. Then we have

Lemma 7.9.

$$(\widehat{\omega} \wedge \omega + \omega \wedge \widehat{\omega})_{(m)} = m(\omega \wedge \omega)_{(m)} \tag{1}$$

$$\check{N}(\widehat{\omega}'\omega' + \omega'\widehat{\omega}') = N(\omega'\omega') \tag{2}$$

$$\omega_{(1)} = (1 - V)\widehat{\omega} \tag{3}$$

Proof. (1) From the definition of $\widehat{\omega}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\widehat{\omega} \wedge \omega + \omega \wedge \widehat{\omega})_{(m)} &= \sum\nolimits_{p=1}^{m-1} \widehat{\omega}_{(p)} \wedge \omega_{(m-p)} + \omega_{(p)} \wedge \widehat{\omega}_{(m-p)} \\ = \quad &\sum\nolimits_{p=1}^{m-1} (p + m - p)\omega_{(p)} \wedge \omega_{(m-p)} = m(\omega \wedge \omega)_{(m)}.\end{aligned}$$

(2) can be proved in a similar way to (1).
(3) From (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned}&((1 - V)\widehat{\omega})_{(m)} = (\widehat{\omega} - *d\Phi(\widehat{\omega} \wedge \omega + \omega \wedge \widehat{\omega}))_{(m)} \\ = \quad &m\omega_{(m)} - m * d\Phi(\omega \wedge \omega)_{(m)} = m\omega_{(m)} - m(\omega - \omega_{(1)})_{(m)} = \delta_{1m}\omega_{(1)}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Now we can identify the integral $\int_C (1 - U)^{-1}(-S\omega) \wedge \omega_{(1)} = \int_C W \wedge \omega_{(1)} - \int_C (S\omega_{(1)}) \wedge \omega_{(1)}$.

Lemma 7.10.

$$\int_C (1 - U)^{-1}(-S\omega) \wedge \omega_{(1)} = -2 \int_C \Re\left(N(\omega'\omega')\dot{\mu}\right) \in \widehat{T}.$$

Proof. First we remark $V\widehat{\omega}_{(m)} = m(\omega - \omega_{(1)})_{(m)}$ is L^q for any $q \in]0, 2[$ and $(1 - U)^{-1}(-S\omega)$ is L^p for any $p \in]2, \infty[$. Hence the product $(1 - U)^{-1}(-S\omega) \wedge V\widehat{\omega}$ is integrable on C. Since $\Phi d * (1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega)(P_0) = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \check{N}\int_C (1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega)\wedge V\widehat{\omega} \\ = \ & \check{N}\int_C (1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega)\wedge *d\Phi(\widehat{\omega}\wedge\omega + \omega\wedge\widehat{\omega}) \\ = \ & \check{N}\int_C d\Phi d * (1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega)\wedge *d\Phi(\widehat{\omega}\wedge\omega + \omega\wedge\widehat{\omega}) \\ = \ & -\check{N}\int_C \Phi d * (1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega)\wedge d * d\Phi(\widehat{\omega}\wedge\omega + \omega\wedge\widehat{\omega}) \\ = \ & -\check{N}\int_C \Phi d * (1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega)\wedge (\widehat{\omega}\wedge\omega + \omega\wedge\widehat{\omega}) \\ = \ & \check{N}\int_C \big(\omega\Phi d * (1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega) - \Phi d * (1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega)\omega\big)\wedge\widehat{\omega} \\ = \ & \check{N}\int_C U(1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega)\wedge\widehat{\omega}. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 7.5 we have

$$\int_C (1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega)\wedge\omega_{(1)} = \check{N}\int_C (1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega)\wedge\omega_{(1)}.$$

From Lemma 7.9 (2), (3) and what we have shown above, the RHS is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \check{N}\int_C (1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega)\wedge(1-V)\,\widehat{\omega} \\ = \ & \check{N}\int_C (1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega)\wedge\widehat{\omega} - \check{N}\int_C (1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega)\wedge V\widehat{\omega} \\ = \ & \check{N}\int_C (1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega)\wedge\widehat{\omega} - \check{N}\int_C U(1-U)^{-1}(-S\omega)\wedge\widehat{\omega} \\ = \ & \check{N}\int_C (-S\omega)\wedge\widehat{\omega} = \check{N}\int_C 2(\omega'\dot{\mu} + \omega''\overline{\dot{\mu}})\wedge(\widehat{\omega}' + \widehat{\omega}'') \\ = \ & -2\check{N}\int_C (\omega'\widehat{\omega}'\dot{\mu} + \omega''\widehat{\omega}''\overline{\dot{\mu}}) = -4\check{N}\int_C \Re(\omega'\widehat{\omega}'\dot{\mu}) \\ = \ & -2\int_C \Re(\check{N}(\omega'\widehat{\omega}' + \widehat{\omega}'\omega')\dot{\mu}) = -2\int_C \Re(N(\omega'\omega')\dot{\mu}), \end{aligned}$$

as was to be shown. □

By Proposition 7.8 and Lemma 7.10 we obtain

$$\dot{\theta}(\gamma) = -int\left(\int_C W\wedge\omega_{(1)}\right)\theta(\gamma) = int\left(\int_C 2\Re\left(\left(N(\omega'\omega') - 2\omega'_{(1)}\omega'_{(1)}\right)\dot{\mu}\right)\right)\theta(\gamma).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 7.2. □

8. The Maurer-Cartan Form on the Teichmüller Space

We conclude the paper by discussing what we have shown above in the context of the geometry of the moduli space of compact Riemann surfaces.

Let Σ_g be a 2-dimensional oriented connected closed C^∞ manifold of genus $g \geq 1$, $p_0 \in \Sigma_g$, and $v_0 \in (T_{\mathbb{R}}\Sigma_g)_{p_0} \setminus \{0\}$. We may define the fundamental group $\pi_1(\Sigma_g, p_0, v_0)$ as in §4, which is also isomorphic to the free group F_{2g}. Throughout this section we fix an isomorphism and identify $\pi_1(\Sigma_g, p_0, v_0)$ with F_{2g}, so that we identify $H = H_1(F_{2g}; \mathbb{R})$ with $H_1(\Sigma_g; \mathbb{R}) \overset{\vartheta}{\cong} H^1(\Sigma_g; \mathbb{R})$.

The universal covering space $\widetilde{\mathbb{M}_{g,1}}$ of the space $\mathbb{M}_{g,1}$ is the Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}_{g,1}$ of triples of genus g. It is, by definition, the moduli space of quadruples $(C, P_0, v, [f])$ of genus g, where (C, P_0, v) is a triple of genus g and $[f]$ the isotopy class of an orientation-preserving diffeomorphism $f : (\Sigma_g, p_0, v_0) \to (C, P_0, v)$. For any isomorphism class $[C, P_0, v, [f]]$ of quadruples we may consider the Magnus expansion

$$\begin{aligned}&|f_*|^{-1} \circ \theta^{(C,P_0,v)} \circ f_* :\\ &F_{2g} = \pi_1(\Sigma_g, p_0, v_0) \xrightarrow{f_*} \pi_1(C, P_0, v) \overset{\theta^{(C,P_0,v)}}{\longrightarrow} 1 + \widehat{T}_1(H_1(C; \mathbb{R})) \overset{|f_*|^{-1}}{\longrightarrow} 1 + \widehat{T}_1,\end{aligned}$$

where $|f_*| = f_* : H = H_1(\Sigma_g) \to H_1(C)$ is the induced homomorphism of the diffeomorphism f. Consequently we obtain a real analytic equivariant map

$$\theta : \widetilde{\mathbb{M}_{g,1}} = \mathcal{T}_{g,1} \longrightarrow \Theta_{2g}, \quad [C, P_0, v, [f]] \mapsto |f_*|^{-1} \circ \theta^{(C,P_0,v)} \circ f_* \tag{8.1}$$

with respect to the mapping class group. We call it **the harmonic Magnus expansion** on the universal family of compact Riemann surfaces over the moduli space $\mathbb{M}_{g,1}$.

Here we should recall how the cotangent space $T^*_{[C,P_0,v]}\mathbb{M}_{g,1}$ at a point $[C, P_0, v] \in \mathbb{M}_{g,1}$ is identified with the space of quadratic differentials $H^0(C; \mathcal{O}_C((T^*C)^{\otimes 2} \otimes [P_0]^{\otimes 2}))$. Here $[P_0]$ is the holomorphic line bundle on C defined by the divisor P_0. For simplicity we write

$$H^q(C; \lambda K + \nu P_0) := H^q(C; \mathcal{O}_C((T^*C)^{\otimes \lambda} \otimes [P_0]^{\otimes \nu}))$$

for $\lambda, \nu \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $q = 0, 1$. As in §7 let (C_t, P_0^t, v_t) be a C^∞ family of triples satisfying $(C_t, P_0^t, v_t)\Big|_{t=0} = (C, P_0, v)$, and $f^t : (C, P_0, v) \to (C_t, P_0^t, v_t)$ a C^∞ family of diffeomorphisms satisfying the condition (7.1). The Kodaira-Spencer isomorphism $T_{[C,P_0,v]}\mathbb{M}_{g,1} = H^1(C; -K - 2P_0)$ maps the tangent vector $\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}(C_t, P_0^t, v_t)$ to the Dolbeault cohomology class $[\dot{\mu}]$. The Serre duality $H^0(C; 2K + 2P_0) \otimes H^1(C; -K - 2P_0) \to \mathbb{C}$, $q \otimes \dot{\mu} \mapsto \int_C q\dot{\mu}$, gives a natural isomorphism

$$T^*_{[C,P_0,v]}\mathbb{M}_{g,1} = H^0(C; 2K + 2P_0). \tag{8.2}$$

Let $\mathbb{M}_g$ be the moduli space of compact Riemann surfaces C of genus g, and $\mathbb{C}_g$ the moduli of pointed compact Riemann surfaces (C, P_0). The forgetful map $\pi : \mathbb{C}_g \to \mathbb{M}_g$, $[C, P_0] \mapsto [C]$, can be regarded as the universal family of compact Riemann surfaces over $\mathbb{M}_g$. The space $\mathbb{M}_{g,1}$ is obtained by deleting the zero section from the relative tangent bundle of π, $\mathbb{M}_{g,1} = T_{\mathbb{C}_g/\mathbb{M}_g} \setminus 0(\mathbb{C}_g)$. We denote the projection of the relative tangent bundle by $\varpi : \mathbb{M}_{g,1} \to \mathbb{C}_g$, which coincides with the forgetful map $[C, P_0, v] \mapsto [C, P_0]$. Similarly we have natural isomorphisms

$$T^*_{[C]}\mathbb{M}_g = H^0(C; 2K), \quad \text{and} \quad T^*_{[C,P_0]}\mathbb{C}_g = H^0(C; 2K + P_0). \tag{8.3}$$

We may regard H and $H_{\mathbb{C}} := H \otimes \mathbb{C}$ as flat vector bundles over the moduli space $\mathbb{M}_g$. It follows from Theorem 7.2 and (2.7)

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \theta^*\eta, \dot{\mu}\rangle &= \left\langle \theta^*\eta, \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}(C_t, P_0^t, v_t)\right\rangle \\ &= \quad int\left(\int_C 2\Re\left(\left(N(\omega'\omega') - 2\omega'_{(1)}\omega'_{(1)}\right)\dot{\mu}\right)\right) \in \mathrm{Der}(\widehat{T}).\end{aligned}$$

By (4.6) we obtain

Theorem 8.1.

$$\theta^*\eta_p = N(\omega'\omega')_{(p+2)} + \overline{N(\omega'\omega')_{(p+2)}} \in (T^*_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{M}_{g,1})_{[C,P_0,v]} \otimes H^{\otimes(p+2)}$$

for any $p \geq 1$.

In fact, $N(\omega'\omega')$ is invariant under the action of ε.

We may consider the pullback of the p-cocycle Y_p by the map θ

$$\theta^*Y_p \in C^*(K_{p+1}; \Omega^*(\mathbb{M}_{g,1}; H^{\otimes(p+2)})).$$

Applying Theorem 3.2 to the map θ we have

Theorem 8.2.

$$[\theta^*Y_p] = \frac{1}{(p+2)!}(-1)^{\frac{1}{2}p(p+1)} m_{0,p+2} \in H^p(\mathbb{M}_{g,1}; H^{\otimes(p+2)})$$

under the isomorphism $H^p(C^*(K_{p+1}; \Omega^*(\mathbb{M}_{g,1}; H^{\otimes(p+2)}))) = H^p(\mathbb{M}_{g,1}; H^{\otimes(p+2)})$.

This can be interpreted the p-cocyle θ^*Y_p is a canonical combinatorial family of differential forms representing the twisted Morita-Mumford class $m_{0,p+2}$. From [21] and [14] the i-th Morita-Mumford class e_i is obtained by contracting the coefficients of $m_{0,2i+2}$ using the intersection form of the surface.

In the case $p \neq 2$, as was shown in Lemma 7.1, $N(\omega'\omega')_{(p+2)}$ has a pole of order ≤ 1 at the point P_0. Hence $\theta^*\eta_p$ can be regarded as a twisted 1-form on $\mathbb{C}_g$, $\theta^*\eta_p \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{C}_g; H^{\otimes(p+2)})$ for any $p \neq 2$.

Finally we discuss more about the twisted closed 1-form $\theta^*\eta_1 \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{C}_g; H^{\otimes 3})$. For the rest of the paper we suppose $g \geq 2$. Let $\mathcal{M}_g$ and $\mathcal{M}_{g,*}$ be the mapping class groups of the surface Σ_g and the pointed surface (Σ_g, p_0), respectively. The space $\mathbb{M}_g$ is the quotient of the Teichmüller space of genus g by the natural action of the group $\mathcal{M}_g$. Hence, for any $\mathbb{R}[\mathcal{M}_g]$-module M, we have a natural isomorphism $H^*(\mathbb{M}_g; M) = H^*(\mathcal{M}_g; M)$. Similarly we have $H^*(\mathbb{C}_g; M) = H^*(\mathcal{M}_{g,*}; M)$.

Morita introduced the extended Johnson homomorphisms $\tilde{k} \in H^1(\mathcal{M}_{g,*}; \Lambda^3 H)$ and $\tilde{k} \in H^1(\mathcal{M}_g; \Lambda^3 H/H)$ in [20]. Here we regard H as an $Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{R})$-submodule of $\Lambda^3 H$ through the injection $\mathfrak{q}^H : Z \in H \mapsto Z \wedge I \in \Lambda^3 H$ given by the wedge product by the intersection form I. The forgetful homomorphism $\varpi^* : H^1(\mathcal{M}_{g,*}; \Lambda^3 H) \to H^1(\mathcal{M}_{g,1}; \Lambda^3 H)$ is an isomorphism, and maps $\tilde{k}$ to the cohomology class of the first Johnson map $[\tau_1^\theta] = h_1$.

As in §4 we choose a symplectic basis $\{X_i, X_{g+i}\}_{i=1}^g$ of $H = H_1(\Sigma_g; \mathbb{R})$. For any $p \geq 2$ we regard $\Lambda^p H$ as a $Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{R})$-submodule of $H^{\otimes p}$ by the injection

$$\Lambda^p H \to H^{\otimes p}, \quad Z_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge Z_p \mapsto \sum\nolimits_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_p} (\mathrm{sgn}\,\sigma) Z_{\sigma(1)} \cdots Z_{\sigma(p)}. \tag{8.4}$$

Here it should be remarked the wedge product $\varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2$ of two $\widehat{T}$-valued 1-forms φ_1 and φ_2 on a Riemann surface does **not** mean the wedge product of the coefficients, but only that of differential forms as in the preceding sections. For example we mean $(Z_1 dz) \wedge (Z_2 d\bar{z}) = (Z_1 Z_2) dz \wedge d\bar{z} = (Z_1 \otimes Z_2) dz \wedge d\bar{z}$ for Z_1 and $Z_2 \in H$. We define the contraction map $\mathfrak{c} : \Lambda^p H \to \Lambda^{p-2} H$ by the restriction of the map $H^{\otimes p} \to H^{\otimes (p-2)}$, $Z_1 Z_2 Z_3 \cdots Z_p \mapsto (Z_1 \cdot Z_2) Z_3 \cdots Z_p$. For example the intersection form $I = \sum X_i X_{g+i} - X_{g+i} X_i = \sum X_i \wedge X_{g+i}$ satisfies $\mathfrak{c}(I) = 2g$. Moreover we have $\mathfrak{c}(Z_1 \wedge Z_2 \wedge Z_3) = 2((Z_1 \cdot Z_2) Z_3 + (Z_2 \cdot Z_3) Z_1 + (Z_3 \cdot Z_1) Z_2)$ for any Z_1, Z_2, and $Z_3 \in H$.

Now we may regard $\theta^* \eta_1 \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{C}_g; \Lambda^3 H)$. In fact, the $(1,0)$-part of $\theta^* \eta_1$ is

$$\begin{aligned} N(\omega'\omega')_{(3)} &= 2N(\omega'_{(1)}\omega'_{(2)}) = -2\sqrt{-1} N(\omega'_{(1)} \partial \Phi(\omega_{(1)} \wedge \omega_{(1)})) \\ &= -\sqrt{-1} N(1 - (23))(\omega'_{(1)} \partial \Phi(\omega_{(1)} \wedge \omega_{(1)})) \\ &= -\sqrt{-1} \Big(\sum\nolimits_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_3} (\operatorname{sgn} \sigma) \sigma\Big)(\omega'_{(1)} \partial \Phi(\omega_{(1)} \wedge \omega_{(1)})), \end{aligned}$$

which has coefficients in $\Lambda^3 H \otimes \mathbb{C}$. From (2.6) abd the results of Morita quoted above we obtain

$$[\theta^* \eta_1] = -\tilde{k} \in H^1(\mathbb{C}_g; \Lambda^3 H). \tag{8.5}$$

Following [21] we write $U := \Lambda^3 H / H = \operatorname{Coker} \mathfrak{q}^H$. If we denote by $\mathfrak{p}^U : \Lambda^3 H \to U$ the natural projection, $\mathfrak{p}^H := \frac{1}{2g-2} \mathfrak{c} : \Lambda^3 H \to H$, and $\mathfrak{q}^U := 1 - \mathfrak{q}^H \mathfrak{p}^H : U \to \Lambda^3 H$, then we have $\mathfrak{p}^H \mathfrak{q}^H = 1_H$ and these maps give an $Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{R})$-equivariant decomposition

$$\Lambda^3 H = H \oplus U. \tag{8.6}$$

As was shown in [20] the forgetful map $H^1(\mathcal{M}_g; U) \to H^1(\mathcal{M}_{g,*}; U)$ is injective and maps $\tilde{k}$ to $\mathfrak{p}^U \tilde{k}$. We define

$$\eta_1^H := \mathfrak{p}^H \theta^* \eta_1 \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{C}_g; H) \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_1^U := \mathfrak{p}^U \theta^* \eta_1 \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{C}_g; U).$$

The closed 1-form η_1^U represents the image of the extended Johnson homomorphism on $\mathcal{M}_g$ by the forgetful map.

Theorem 8.3. *For any pointed Riemann surface (C, P_0) the value of the $(1,0)$-part of η_1^U at $[C, P_0] \in \mathbb{C}_g$, $\mathfrak{p}^U N(\omega'\omega')_{(3)} \in H^0(C; 2K + P_0) \otimes U$, is smooth at P_0, and independent of the choice of the point P_0. In other words, η_1^U can be regarded as a 1-form on the moduli space $\mathbb{M}_g$, and represents the extended Johnson homomorphism $-\tilde{k}$ on the mapping class group $\mathcal{M}_g$.*

To prove the theorem we fix a pointed Riemann surface (C, P_0), and choose the basis $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^{2g}$ of the real harmonic 1-forms on C, whose cohomology classes form the dual basis of $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^{2g}$ as in §5. We have $\omega_{(1)} = \sum_{i=1}^{g} (\xi_i X_i + \xi_{g+i} X_{g+i})$. We denote

$$B = B_C := \frac{1}{2g} \omega_{(1)} \cdot \omega_{(1)} = \frac{1}{g} \sum\nolimits_{i=1}^{g} \xi_i \wedge \xi_{g+i} \in \Omega^2(C).$$

Clearly $\int_C B = 1$. Moreover B is a volume form on C. In fact, if we choose a basis $\{\psi_i\}_{i=1}^{g}$ of the space $H^0(C; K)$ satisfying the condition $\int_C \psi_i \wedge \overline{\psi_j} = \delta_{i,j}$, $1 \leq i, j \leq g$, then we have $B = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2g} \sum_{i=1}^{g} \psi_i \wedge \overline{\psi_i}$ because $\{\psi_i, \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \overline{\psi_i}\}_{i=1}^{g}$ is a symplecic basis of the space $H \otimes \mathbb{C}$. Since the complete linear system $|K|$ of the canonical divisor on the Riemann surface C has no basepoint, B does not vanish at any point $P \in C$.

We denote by $\Omega_0^2(C)$ the kernel of the map $\int_C : \Omega^2(C) \otimes \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$. The key to the proof of the theorem is the fact the Green operator $\Phi : A^2(C) \to A^0(C)/\mathbb{C}$

restricted to the kernel $\Omega^2_0(C)$ has values in the smooth functions $(\Omega^0(C)\otimes\mathbb{C})/\mathbb{C}$ and is independent of the choice of the point P_0, which we denote

$$\Phi_0 = \Phi_0^C : \Omega^2_0(C) \to (\Omega^0(C)\otimes\mathbb{C})/\mathbb{C}.$$

For example, since $\int_C(\omega_{(1)}\wedge\omega_{(1)} - BI) = I - I = 0$, the 1-form $*d\Phi_0(\omega_{(1)}\wedge\omega_{(1)} - BI) = *d\Phi(\omega_{(1)}\wedge\omega_{(1)} - BI)$ is smooth at P_0, and independent of the point P_0. We denote the $(1,0)$-part of η_1^H by

$$q = q^{(C,P_0)} := \mathfrak{p}^H N(\omega'\omega')_{(3)} = 2\mathfrak{p}^H N(\omega'_{(1)}\omega'_{(2)}).$$

Lemma 8.4. *The covariant tensor* $q^{(C,P_0)} - 2\omega'_{(1)} * \partial\Phi B \in C^\infty(C\backslash\{P_0\};(T^*C)^{\otimes 2})\otimes H$ *is smooth at* P_0*, and independent of the choice of* P_0*.*

Proof. One computes

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{c}N(\omega'_{(1)}\omega'_{(2)})\\
= \quad & 2g\omega'_{(1)} * \partial\Phi B + 2\sum \xi'_i * \partial\Phi(\xi_{g+i}\wedge\omega_{(1)}) - 2\sum \xi'_{g+i} * \partial\Phi(\xi_i\wedge\omega_{(1)})\\
= \quad & 2g\omega'_{(1)} * \partial\Phi B\\
& +2\sum \xi'_i * \partial\Phi_0(\xi_{g+i}\wedge(\omega_{(1)} - \xi_i X_i)) - 2\sum \xi'_{g+i} * \partial\Phi_0(\xi_i\wedge(\omega_{(1)} - \xi_{g+i}X_{g+i}))\\
& -2\sum(\xi'_i X_i + \xi'_{g+i}X_{g+i}) * \partial\Phi(\xi_i\wedge\xi_{g+i})\\
= \quad & (2g-2)\omega'_{(1)} * \partial\Phi B\\
& +2\sum \xi'_i * \partial\Phi_0(\xi_{g+i}\wedge(\omega_{(1)} - \xi_i X_i)) - 2\sum \xi'_{g+i} * \partial\Phi_0(\xi_i\wedge(\omega_{(1)} - \xi_{g+i}X_{g+i}))\\
& -2\sum(\xi'_i X_i + \xi'_{g+i}X_{g+i}) * \partial\Phi_0(\xi_i\wedge\xi_{g+i} - B).
\end{aligned}$$

This means $\mathfrak{p}^H N(\omega'\omega')_{(3)} - 2\omega'_{(1)} * \partial\Phi B$ is smooth at P_0, and independent of the choice of P_0. □ □

Proof of Theorem 8.3. For any $Z \in H$ we have $Z\wedge I = N(ZI)$. The $(1,0)$-part of η_1^U, $N(\omega'\omega')_{(3)} - q^{(C,P_0)}\wedge I$, is congruent to $2N(\omega'_{(1)}\omega'_{(2)}) - 2\omega'_{(1)}\wedge *\partial\Phi BI$ modulo the tensors on C smooth at P_0, and independent of the choice of P_0. Now the difference $N(\omega'_{(1)}\omega'_{(2)}) - \omega'_{(1)}\wedge *\partial\Phi BI = N(\omega'_{(1)} * \partial\Phi_0(\omega_{(1)}\wedge\omega_{(1)} - BI))$ is smooth at P_0 and independent of the choice of P_0. This proves the theorem. □

In [7] Harris introduced the harmonic volume I_C of a compact Riemann surface C, and computed the first variation of I_C. The 1-form η_1^U coincides with the first variation. As was pointed out in [7], η_1^U vanishes along the hyperelliptic locus $\mathcal{H}_g \subset \mathbb{M}_g$. One can see this fact by considering the action of the hyperelliptic involution. This implies all the differential forms representing the Morita-Mumford classes derived from η_1^U vanish along the locus $\mathcal{H}_g$. The harmonic volume of a hyperelliptic Riemann surface, however, is non-trivial, as was pointed out by Tadokoro [27]. It is a locally constant function on the locus and computed completely in [27]. See also [28].

In view of a theorem of Morita [21] there exist unique equivariant linear maps α_0 and $\alpha_1 \in \mathrm{Hom}((\Lambda^3H)^{\otimes 2},\mathbb{R})^{Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{R})}$ such that $e^J := \alpha_{0*}(\theta^*{\eta_1}^{\otimes 2})$ and ${e_1}^J := \alpha_{1*}(\theta^*{\eta_1}^{\otimes 2}) \in \Omega^2(\mathbb{C}_g)$ represent the first Chern class of $T_{\mathbb{C}_g/\mathbb{M}_g}$ and the first Morita-Mumford class, respectively. One can construct the form e_1^J from the 1-form η_1^U, and so regard it as a 2-form on $\mathbb{M}_g$. Hain and Reed [5] introduced the same 1-form

η_1^U and studied the difference $e_1^J - 12c_1(\lambda, L^2)$ in detail, where λ is the Hodge line bundle over the Siegel upper half space $\mathfrak{H}_g$.

The Chern form e^J seems to be related to Arakelov's admissible metric. Let B be the volume form on a compact Riemann surface C introduced above, and h the function on $\mathbb{C}_g \times_{\mathbb{M}_g} \mathbb{C}_g$ satisfying the conditions $\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\partial\overline{\partial}h\big|_{C\times\{P_0\}} = B - \delta_{P_0}$ and $\int_C \left(h\big|_{C\times\{P_0\}}\right) B = 0$. Then we have

$$\left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\partial\overline{\partial}h\right)\Big|_{\text{diagonal}} = e^J + \frac{1}{(2-2g)^2}({e_1}^F - {e_1}^J) \ \in \ \Omega^2(\mathbb{C}_g).$$

Here ${e_1}^F := \int_{\text{fiber}}(e^J)^2 \in \Omega^2(\mathbb{M}_g)$. The proof will be given in [13].

REFERENCES

1. L. V. Ahlfors and L. Bers, *Riemann's mapping theorem for variable metrics*, Ann. Math. 72 (1960) 385–404.
2. L. Bers, *'Riemann Surfaces,'* mimeographed lecture notes (1957-58) New York University.
3. K.-T. Chen, *Iterated integrals of differential forms and loop space homology*, Ann. of Math. 97 (1973) 217–246.
4. R. Hain, *Infinitesimal presentations of the Torelli groups*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 10 (1997) 597–651.
5. R. Hain and D. Reed, *On the Arakelov geometry of moduli spaces of curves*, J. Diff. Geom. 67 (2004) 195–228.
6. J. Harer, *Stability of the homology of the mapping class group of orientable surfaces*, Ann. Math. 121 (1985) 215–249.
7. B. Harris, *Harmonic volumes*, Acta Math. 150 (1983) 91–123.
8. D. Johnson, *A survey of the Torelli group*, Contemporary Math. 20 (1983) 165–179.
9. R. H. Kaenders, *The mixed Hodge structure on the fundamental group of a punctured Riemann surfaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 129 (2000) 1271–1281.
10. N. Kawazumi, *A generalization of the Morita-Mumford classes to extended mapping class groups for surfaces*, Invent. math. 131 (1998) 137–149.
11. ——, *Cohomological aspects of Magnus expansions*, preprint, arXiv: math.GT/0505497.
12. ——, *Harmonic Magnus expansions*, in: 'Hyperbolic spaces and discrete groups, II' (Japanese), Sūrikaisekikenkyūsho Kōkyūroku 1270 (2002) 11–23.
13. ——, *Johnson's homomorphisms and the Arakelov-Green function*, preprint, arXiv: math.0801.4218.
14. N. Kawazumi and S. Morita, *The primary approximation to the cohomology of the moduli space of curves and cocycles for the stable characteristic classes*, Math. Research Lett. 3 (1996) 629–641.
15. ——, *The primary approximation to the cohomology of the moduli space of curves and cocycles for the Mumford-Morita-Miller classes*, preprint, University of Tokyo. UTMS 2001-13 (2001).
16. A. Korn, *Zwei Anwendungen der Methode der sukzessiven Annäherungen*, Schwarz Festschrift, Berlin (1919) 215–229.
17. L. Lichtenstein, *Zur Theorie der konformen Abbildungen nicht- analytischer singularitätenfreier Flächenstücke auf ebene Gebiete*, Bull. Acad. Sci. Cracovie (1916) 192–217.
18. I. Madsen and M. Weiss, *The stable moduli space of Riemann surfaces: Mumford's conjecture*, Ann. Math. 165 (2007) 843–941.
19. S. Morita, *Characteristic classes of surface bundles*, Inventiones math. 90 (1987) 551–577.
20. ——, *The extension of Johnson's homomorphism from the Torelli group to the mapping class group*, Invent. math. 111 (1993) 197–224.
21. ——, *A linear representation of the mapping class group of orientable surfaces and characteristic classes of surface bundles*, in: *Topology and Teichmüller Spaces*, World Scientific, Singapore (1996) 159–186.
22. ——, *Structure of the mapping class groups of surfaces: a survey and a prospect*, Geometry and Topology Monographs 2 (1999) 349–406.

23. D. Mumford, *Towards an enumerative geometry of the moduli space of curves*, in: *Arithmetic and Geometry*, Progr. Math. 36 (1983) 271–328.
24. M.J. Pulte, *The fundamental group of a Riemann surface: Mixed Hodge structures and algebraic cycles*, Duke Math. J. 57 (1988) 721–760.
25. H. E. Rauch, *On the transcendental moduli of algebraic Riemann surfaces*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 41 (1955) 42–49.
26. J.D. Stasheff, *Homotopy Associativity of H-Spaces, I*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 108 (1963) 275–292.
27. Y. Tadokoro, *The harmonic volumes of hyperelliptic curves*, Publ. RIMS Kyoto Univ. 41 (2005) 799–820.
28. ———, *The pointed harmonic volumes of hyperelliptic curves with Weierstrass base points*, Kodai Math. J. 29(2006) 370–382.
29. S. Wolpert, *Chern forms and the Riemann tensor for the moduli space of curves*, Invent. math. 85 (1986) 119–145.

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Tokyo, Komaba, Tokyo 153-8914, Japan

E-mail address: `kawazumi@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp`